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## Take-Out Doubles

## South West North East

1v ??

## Q1: Basic Requirements?

To make a Takeout Double in this situation, we require:

- Opening strength (or something close)
- A suitable holding in any unbid major

And what would an unsuitable Spade holding be?

- Let's not double with 5+ Spades!
- And let's not double with only 2 (or fewer) Spades! 4 is ideal, but three is OK if there is no better alternative.

In other words, a typical Takeout Double here contains 4 Spades (occasionally 3)

But there are a couple of exceptions ...

## South West North East

1v ??

## Q1: Basic Requirements (cont.)

## Exceptions:

## No Trump Hands

- With 15-18 and a good Heart holding we can overcall 1NT (after which you may want to play "systems on").
- With 19+ and good Hearts we can double first, then bid NT


## Strong One-Suited Hands

When we are very strong (let's say 17+) and are not suitable for a Take-out Double, nor a 1NT overcall, we can double anyway, regardless of our shape, and clarify our hand next time around.

## South West North East 1v ??

Q2: Do these hands qualify?
We'll look at 7 hands and decide the best course of action for each.

Here is the first one:

- A 104 3, $\vee$ 5, $\quad$ K Q 7 6, \& Q 1097

This one is easy! What do you bid?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { South West North East } \\
& \text { 1v ?? } \\
& \text { - A } 104 \text { 3, } \vee \text { 5, } \quad \text { K Q } 7 \text { 6, *Q } 1097 \\
& 11 \mathrm{HCP} \text { and } 4 \text { Spades. So, not quite opening strength, } \\
& \text { but the perfect shape makes it worth a Double. }
\end{aligned}
$$

South West North East

## 1v ??

How about these two hands?

- A 10,
$\vee 5$,
- K Q J 76 2,
* A Q 109
- A 10, v 5, * K Q J 762 2, * AK 109

What are the choices? And what's your choice?

## South West North East

## 1v ??

- A 10, - 5, * K Q J 762 2, \& A Q 109
- A 10, v5, $\quad$ K Q J 762 2, \& AK 109

These are a couple of strong one-suited hands, each with 4 losers. Borderline between either overcalling $2 \star$, or doubling first (and bidding Diamonds next time around).

## South West North East 1v ??

Next, take a look at:

- A 104 3, $\quad$ K Q 7, * 5 , \& Q 1097
^A 104 3, $\quad$ K Q 7, - A 5, ャA Q 109

What's your bid?

## South West North East

1• ??

A A 104 3, $\vee K$ Q 7, $\quad$ A 5, $\&$ Q 1097

- A 104 3, $\vee K$ Q 7, $\quad$ A 5, \& A Q 109

Both hands have the right number of Spades and both are plenty strong enough for a Take-Out Double.

- With the first hand, because of the 4 Spades, some might prefer to make a Takeout Double, but we suggest a simple 1NT overcall (15-18). Thereafter, your Partner can play "systems on" if she wants to seek a Spade fit.
- The second hand is too good for a 1NT overcall, so the prescription here is to double first, then bid NT (19-20) (or raise Spades if Partner bids them).


## South West North East 1v??

Finally, how about these two specimens?

- A 1043 2, $\vee$ 5, $\quad$ K Q 7 6, * Q 109
- A 104 3, $\quad$ 5, $\quad$ Q 10, * AK Q 1092


## South West North East 1v ??

- A 1043 2, $\vee$ 5, $\quad$ KQ 7 6, * Q 109
- A 104 3, $\vee$ 5, $\quad$ Q 10, *AKQ10 92

The first is obviously a 1 n bid. On the second, the Spades say "Double" but surely $2 *$ is the bid. With any luck, you can bid Spades later.

## Take-Out Doubles

## Q3: How high?

Suppose that the opponents open with a preempt. How high before our Double ceases to be for takeout, and becomes cardshowing or penalty-oriented?

They open $2 v$ : Double still takeout, subject to similar rules as above.

They open 3a: Still takeout-oriented, but may be less "pure" (more likely to have only 3 Spades, for example).

They open $4 \vee$ : At this level our Double becomes more valueshowing and less take-out. Good guessing is useful here!

They open 4a: At this level (and higher), Partner will usually pass the Double, but can bid on with exceptional distribution.

## Take-Out Doubles

Q4: Balancing doubles

| South | West | North East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1v | Pass | Pass ?? |

Balancing doubles can be made on substantially weaker hands. The general rule for balancing actions is to pretend you have an extra King and bid accordingly.

What balancing action would you take with these hands?
A A 104 3, $\quad$ 5,

- Q 76 2,
$\therefore$ Q 1097
a A 10,
$\checkmark 5$,
-K Q J 76 2, \& A 1098
^A 104 3, $\vee$ K Q 7, •A 5,
$\therefore$ Q 1097
^A10432, 『5,
- K Q 7 6,
$\therefore$ Q 109


## Q4 (cont.): Balancing doubles

| South | West | North East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1v | Pass | Pass ?? |

A 104 3, $\quad$ 5, Q 762 , $\quad \therefore$ Q 1097
Only 8 HCP's but a fine balancing Double

- A 10, • 5, $\quad$ K Q J 762 , \& A 1098

Two options here. You could jump to $3 \diamond$ showing strength and good Diamonds (in the balancing seat this is NOT a preempt!) Or, you could add an imaginary King to the hand, in which case, despite the doubleton Spade, it might qualify for a Double followed by a bid of those lovely Diamonds. Our choice would be $3 \diamond$.
a A 104 3, vK Q 7, $\quad$ A 5, * Q 1097 Suitable Spades, but better to bid 1NT. In the balancing seat this shows 11-15 or thereabouts.
^A 1043 2, 『 5, $\quad$ K Q 7 6, \& Q 109 Overcall 1 ヵ.

## Negative Doubles

Partner opens one of a suit, your RHO overcalls, and you double. It's your basic Negative Double, known to all.

| South West | North East |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 *}$ | $\mathbf{1 v}$ | $? ?$ |

- Double here is Negative, of course, showing some values (let's say at least 5 or 6 HCP's with no upper limit) and exactly 4 Spades.
- 1a here shows 5+ Spades and, again, at least 5-6 HCP's with no upper limit.


## Q5: How high?

Up to $4 \vee$ is a common treatment, in which case:
South West North East
1* 4• ?? Dbl here is Negative

South West North East
1* 4a ? ? Dbl here is values
Generally speaking, the higher the preempt the more likely we are not to have an ideal major suit holding. For example:
1ヵ 1• Dbl Exactly 4 Spades

South West North East
1ヵ 30 ? ?
Suppose North holds: a A 76, v J 5, A K 8 5, \& J 765. Despite having only three Spades, North makes a Negative Double, it's the only sensible bid in the circumstances.

## Negative Doubles

Q6: How strong?

| South | West | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\% | $1 \vee$ | Dbl | North has at least 5 HCP |
| 1\% | 2V | Dbl | North has at least 7 HCP |
| 1\% | 3V | Dbl | North has at least 9 HCP |
| 1* | 4 | Dbl | North has at least 11 HCP |

Those HCP numbers are highly subjective, there is plenty of scope for good judgment, especially on distributional hands.

Also, remember that the Negative Double has no upper limit.

## Negative Doubles

## Q7: 5-card majors?

## South West North

1* 1v Dbl NEVER a 5-card Spade suit
But suppose that the auction starts:

## South West North <br> 1ヵ 1ヵ ? ?

North holds: - 87 2, v 7654 , K 8 2, \& 32.
This is not good enough for North to bid $2 \downarrow$, that needs a slightly stronger hand. So North makes a Negative Double:

- Either 4 Hearts (no upper limit in terms of strength)
- Or $5+$ Hearts but too weak for a direct $2 \varphi$ (such a bid would require about $9+$ HCP and would be forcing for one round).

What the Negative Double does not show in this situation is 5+ Hearts and a good hand.

## Negative Doubles

Q8: Two unbid majors?
Here are some auctions which cause confusion:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { South } & \text { West } & \text { North } \\ \text { 1* } & \text { 1* } & \text { Dbl }\end{array}$
South West North
1* 1* 1v (or 1ヶ)
South West North
1* 2* Dbl
So the question is: "What has North shown in each of these auctions?"
South West North

In this auction, North's Double shows BOTH majors ... usually 4 cards in each. It's conceivable that North might have a $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ Heart, but she is most unlikely to have a $5^{\text {th }}$ Spade.

## South West North

1* 1* 1ヵ (or 1ヵ)
Once you accept the fact that the Double shows BOTH majors, then it follows that the second auction does not guarantee 5 cards in the bid major. Otherwise, how would you bid, for example, a 3-4-2-4 type of hand?

## South West North <br> 1• 2* Dbl

In this auction, we have been forced to the two-level. Now, we need more latitude in finding a fit. So, a Double by North does not show both majors, but it does guarantee at least one major. A second major is not guaranteed. If South has no 4-card major, hopefully there will be safety in South's minor.

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1\& | Pass | $\mathbf{1 q}$ | $\mathbf{1 a}$ |
| ?? |  |  |  |

If N -S are playing Support Doubles then:

- Double here shows 3-card Heart support
- A direct raise to $2 v$ shows 4 -card support (once in a while, even more)
- Any other bid (or Pass) shows less than 3 Hearts


## Q9: How strong?

A Support Double says nothing about strength. Opener could have a rock-bottom minimum or a really super hand. All that the Support Double says (in our example auction) is "I have three Hearts."

## Q10: Is it mandatory?

Suppose that you held: ^ K 7, 『 J 7 6, •A 4, \& A K Q 832
Tempted to bid 3* with this assortment? Don't be! Better to dutifully make the Support Double, notwithstanding the weak Hearts and the splendid Clubs, otherwise Partner will never believe you have 3-card support. So, yes, make the Support Double mandatory whenever the situation arises.

## Support Doubles

## Q11: How high?

Support Doubles (and Support Redoubles) are only made at the one-level and the two-level. But watch out for this auction:

| South | West | North East |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 \&}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{1 v}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ |
| $\mathbf{? ?}$ |  |  |  |

If we use a Support Double this high, and Partner is weak with only 4 Hearts, we might end up at the 3-level in a shaky 4-3 Heart fit. So, the suggestion is: "Support Doubles are only on up to two of Partner's suit." Therefore, they are off in this auction.

## Q12: What if they bid NT?

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 \&}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{1 q}$ | $\mathbf{1 N T}$ |
| ?? |  |  |  |

Is this a Support Double situation, or would Double be for penalty? No right answer, our own preference is that it shows support, if only for the sake of consistency. It doesn't pay to litter up the convention card with lots of special cases.

However, if the 1NT bid is the Sandwich No Trump (apparently some people still play that), then the double would always be support, regardless of other agreements.

## Maximal Doubles

South wants to compete to 3 A , based on her extra Spade and the singleton in the enemy suit. With a slightly stronger hand, she would no doubt want to invite game, but here, as we said, she just wants to compete. Enter Maximal Doubles.

## Q13: What is a Maximal Double?

The requirements are a competitive auction in which:

- We have bid and raised a major suit
- The opponents are bidding the suit just below ours (Hearts if we're bidding Spades, and Diamonds if we're bidding Hearts)
- They have competed to the three-level

All these conditions apply in the example auction, and now:

- 3a by North is merely competitive. North typically has minimum HCP's for her opening bid, but a sixth Spade and/or shortness in the enemy suit
- Double is Maximal, inviting game if Partner likes her hand.


## Q14: Why only with touching suits?

| South West | North East |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{? ?}$ |  |  |  |

This is NOT a Maximal Double situation, because the suits being bid are not touching. If South wants to make a game-try she can bid $3 v$.

## Responsive Doubles

## Q15: When do they apply?

## South West North East <br> 1v Dbl 2v ??

The opponents have bid and raised a major, and Partner has thrown in an intervening Takeout Double. That being the case, East can make a Responsive Double which in this auction:

- Denies 4 Spades (East would bid the appropriate number of Spades if she had 4 of them)
- Shows both minors

| South West | North | East |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 *}$ | Dbl | $\mathbf{2 *}$ | ?? |

Here the opponents have bid and raised a minor, and now the Responsive Double by East shows both majors. With just one major East would bid that suit.

## Q16: How high?

Up to 3a, Responsive Doubles should be relatively pure, which is to say that they should adhere to the length requirements for the unbid suits. But the higher the bidding gets, the more the Double morphs into a strength-showing Double, possibly with length deficiencies in the unbid suits. So, perhaps it is best to mark the convention card as "Up to $3 \wedge$ ", though "Up to 4 " " is also quite popular.

## Responsive Doubles

## Q17: Strength?

Not surprisingly, the higher the level, the more strength we need to enter the auction:

- At the two-level, let's say 8+ HCP
- At the three-level, 10+ HCP


## Q18: On after Partner overcalls?



Yes, Responsive Doubles are still on. Here it would show 4 Hearts, preferably also with another place to play: either the unbid Diamond suit, or tolerance for Partner's Spades (two of them in other words).

## Penalty Doubles

Not all low-level Doubles are takeout oriented. Once in a while the opponents will find themselves in trouble, but all too often we let them off the hook.

On the other hand, it's not much fun to whack them for +500 when we are cold for a 630 game.

## Q19: A sample hand

You are South, holding: A A 109 8, v 6, K J 107 6, \& 82

| South | West | North <br> 1ヵ | East <br> 1ヵ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1NT | Pass | 2\& |  |
| Dbl | 2\& | Pass | Pass |
| ?? |  |  |  |

This is an obvious Penalty Double. And if they run to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ then South will double that.

What makes South's double of $2 \star$ (and later $2 \wedge$ ) so irresistible?

- Spades are sitting over East's Spades
- Diamonds are sitting over East's Diamonds
- Tens in both their suits (each worth a trick)
- E-W appear to have only a 7 -card fit
- We cannot make game, so even if the opponents miraculously wriggled out for down two you have a good board.

The next slide shows a possible layout and the carnage that might ensue in $2 \uparrow$ doubled.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ↔ } 65 \\ & \vee ~ K ~ J ~ \\ & \bullet \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A J } 2 \\ & \vee \text { Q } 874 \\ & * 43 \\ & * K 9765 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ค K Q } 843 \\ & \vee \text { A } 3 \\ & * \text { A Q } 98 \\ & * 104 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& A } 1098 \\ & \vee 65 \\ & \bullet \text { K J } 1076 \\ & * 82 \end{aligned}$ |  |

The auction calls for a trump opening lead, and the best choice is the Spade Ten. Now, Declarer is held to 5 tricks. But leave the EW hands in place and switch the N-S hands. Now N-S have lost their positional advantage and E-W may scrape up 7 tricks in 2^ doubled. Maybe even 8 tricks if the defense slips up! If you not only switch the N-S hands but also take away the N-S Tens, then $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ no doubt will make 8 tricks!

## Conclusion

## Q20: Were the first 19 questions helpful?

I do hope so!

