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## Why Use Losing Trick Count?

- Losing trick count (LTC) is a method of evaluating hands. It can be very helpful to both opener and responder when deciding whether to bid game and slam.
- Use LTC only when considering suit contracts - for notrump, other methods including simple high card points are better. Also, use it only when a trump fit is known or expected.
- Don't use LTC to decide whether to open.
- LTC is used primarily to help constructive auctions; it doesn't help as much in judging whether to compete, except at high levels.
- This is a theoretical count; it isn't actually the number of tricks you expect to lose but is a useful approximation that will help you judge whether to look for game or slam.


## How to Calculate Losing Trick Count

- To get your first, rough count of losers, look at each of your suits in turn.
- In each suit, look at the largest three cards (or all the cards in that suit, if you have two or fewer).
- Count as a loser any card in that top three that is not an ace, a king, or a queen - in other words, any jack or lower that is one of the top three cards in the suit is considered a loser.
- Do this for each of the four suits and add up the losers; that's your losing trick count (to start with - we'll modify this total in a bit)


## How To Calculate the Losing Trick Count

- The most losers in any suit is three. If a suit has four or more cards, you're considering only the top three for this purpose.
- Notice that the most losers you can have in a suit is limited by how many cards you have in that suit:
- If you have, for example, a doubleton in spades, then you can't have more than two spade losers.
- A singleton is either one loser or zero.
- A void is always zero losers.


## How to Calculate the Losing Trick Count

- To reiterate: look at each suit in turn. Give yourself one loser for each of the top three cards, but don't count as a loser any ace, king or queen.
- Add the losers for each of the four suits to get a total number of losers for the hand.
- That total will range from a minimum of zero, which would be a hand containing nothing but aces, kings, queens, and long solid suits, to twelve, which can happen only with a 4-3-3-3 hand with no ace, king, or queen.


## Adjusting the Basic Losing Trick Count

- Aces, kings, and queens are all counted the same way. This is a weakness.
- To correct for that weakness we'll modify it to discount certain honor holdings.
- The first modification deals with queens, which are much weaker than aces but so far are not counted as losers:
- Starting with the basic losing trick count, add a half loser for any singleton queen, and for any queen in a doubleton or longer suit that doesn't have another high or touching honor. In other words, for an unsupported queen - either singleton, or with another card or cards all ranked ten or lower - add a half loser.
- This is a reflection of the fact that queens are much more likely to be valuable when they have other honors with them.


## Adjusting the Basic Losing Trick Count

- Some people also add losers for unsupported kings, and even get down to counting quarter losers. We won't do that; what we have is precise enough, and too much detail would spoil some of the method's value, which is simplicity.
- In the next lesson we'll also make adjustments that reflect the bidding:
- Increase the value of honors in "our" suits;
- Decrease the value of honors in "their" suits.
- Don't worry about this part for now.


## Counting Losers - Example Hands

> 1. $\mathrm{A} 3 \bigcirc \mathrm{KJT8} \vee \mathrm{AK} 865$ \$T9
> 2. QJ98543 8 S 32

> 4. - AQT432 AKJ86 AQ
> 5. $8753 \bigcirc$ K962 QJ7 ${ }^{-}$Q9
> 6. $\mathrm{A} \bigcirc \bigcirc \mathrm{KJT8} \triangle \mathrm{AK} 865 \mathrm{~T} 9$
> 7. $9 \bigcirc$ KQT875 92 K542
> 8. AKT Q KQJ943 K542

## How to Use Losing Trick Count

- In order to use losing trick count you'll have to remember a few numbers:
- How many losers partner's bidding suggests;
- Add that to the losers in your own hand and compare the total with...
- How many losers suggest there may be a good game or slam.


## How to Use Losing Trick Count - the Targets

- There is a Losing Trick Count "target" for each level you can bid to, representing the loser total in both partnership hands combined that suggests that bidding to that level will be safe:
- Bidding to the four level (game in a major) will usually be safe with 14 losers total. Fewer losers is good; more suggests that the contract won't make.
- The five level (game in a minor) usually requires 13 losers or fewer.
- The six level (small slam ) usually requires 12 losers or fewer.
- The seven level (a grand slam!) usually requires 11 losers or fewer, although bidding to good grands is often done differently.


## Calculating the LTC Targets

- A way to calculate these numbers if you forget:
- Start with 24 (the maximum total losers in two hands), and...
- Subtract the number of tricks you want to take, e.g., the four level requires 10 tricks;
- The difference is the trick total target (in the example, $24-10=14$ ).


## LTC Estimates for Opener's Hand

- Minimum opening bids most commonly have seven losers.
- A strong notrump (15-17 or so) is most often six losers, but seven is fairly common too.
- Many of opener's jump rebids and other strong bids suggest five losers:
- A reverse (e.g., $10-1 \mathrm{NT} ; 2 \mathrm{~s})$
- A jump rebid of opener's suit (e.g., 1Q-12; 3Q)
- A jump raise of responder's suit (e.g., 1D-1Q; 3Q)
- A jump in notrump (e.g., 1?-12; 2NT)
- Opener's jump shift (e.g., 1-1s; 3e) suggests four losers (and is game forcing); a 2NT opening also suggests four losers.
- A strong 2@bid is sometimes four losers, but often three or even fewer.


## LTC Estimates for Responder's Hand

- A minimum responding hand will usually have nine losers, but ten is possible. This includes most single raises of a major suit.
- Invitational hands tend to have eight losers. This includes "limit" raises of major suits and most 10-12 notrump responses to minors.
- Seven loser responder hands usually want to drive to game (at least!) if a trump fit is found:
- Use a forcing raise (e.g., Jacoby 2NT, splinter, inverted minor suit raise);
- Make a strong jump shift (if you play them); note that these often have even fewer than seven losers.


## Using LTC - Example auctions

## Opener's hand: AQT8 ©J KQJ943 K52

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } 1 \diamond-1-\text { ? } \\
& \text { 2. } 1 \diamond-1 \odot ; 19-24 ; \text { ? }
\end{aligned}
$$

3. $1 \checkmark-2 \mathrm{NT}(11-12)$; ?
4. $1 \checkmark-2 \checkmark$ (game forcing); ?

## Using LTC - Example auctions

Responder's hand: K45 © QT873 9752

1. $19-?$
2. $2-2$ (waiting); $2-$ ?
3. $1 \mathrm{~m}-1 / 2 \mathrm{NT}-$ ?
4. $1-1 \mathrm{NT}$ (forcing); 3-?
5. $3-?$

## Hands for Play - Hand 1



## Hands for Play - Hand 2



## Hands for Play - Hand 3

|  | $$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W West |  | E East |
| KQJ5 <br> $\vee 954$ <br> Q64 <br> K95 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A732 } \\ & \text { AQ2 } \\ & \text { KJ53 } \\ & \text { J3 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | S South |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1086 \\ & \text { J107 } \\ & 109 \\ & \text { Q Q8764 } \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Hands for Play - Hand 4



## Suggested Reading

- Modern Losing Trick Count by Ron Klinger
- Complete Book on Hand Evaluation in Contract Bridge by Mike Lawrence (includes "in and out" valuation, the subject of lesson 2)
- To Bid or Not to Bid: The Law of Total Tricks by Larry Cohen (the subject of lessons 3 and 4; there are many others but this remains the best)
- I will be making this deck available on my website at www.dougcouchman.com/bridgelessons.


## Coming in Future Lessons

- More Losing Trick Count:
- Adjusting for where your honors are: "In and Out" evaluation
- When the opponents are silent
- In competitive auctions
- The cover card method
- The "Law" of Total Tricks
- Part 1 - How and why it works
- Part 2 - Adjustments to the Law, and other aspects of judgment in competitive auctions


## About this Presentation

- Prepared and presented by Doug Couchman
- Doug operates his own tutoring business, specializing in graduate admission exams (LSAT, MCAT, GMAT, and GRE).
- He has been involved in bridge since the late 90s:
- Former ACBL tournament director
- Current member of the ACBL Masterpoint Committee and the Board of Governors
- He teaches bridge and is available for instructive and competitive play; inquire for details
- doug@dougcouchman.com; 512-934-1566
- More information at www.dougcouchman.com

