# Improve your finessing 

How to take them; when to take them; when not to take them and how to avoid taking them! For the 'See-one-take-one' finesseaholics and the 'Why-bother-mine-always-lose' finesseaphobes.

## A Finesse can be defined as:

"Gaining tricks with cards that are not the highest by their position relative to other higher cards".

The finesse is one of the first card techniques we are taught; the mechanics are reasonably simple to describe and relatively easy to apply. Whilst teaching explains the principle of the finesse it often stops short of explaining many other aspects of good 'finesse technique'. These seminars hope to address some of those issues. The hands in the lessons are based around teams (or rubber) type scoring where securing your contract is fundamental to getting a good score - the overtricks matter little - but sound technique applies to any form of scoring. When taking a finesse, it is important to do so at the proper time, in the proper order by leading the correct card and into (where possible) the non-danger hand. One also needs to appreciate that it is different taking an early finesse to avoid an immediate loser as opposed to taking a finesse to get rid of an eventual loser or create extra tricks needed to fulfil your contract. Hopefully after these seminars you will be better placed to weigh up the merits of taking your finesses and approach them in a more confident and considered manner.

## The Game Plan

Experienced players will always try to follow the line of play which rates to have the highest percentage chance of success. A finesse should therefore always fit into the overall game plan, rather than just be attempted every time there is such possibility (the 'See-one-take-one' brigade please note!). A finesse is a $50-50$ shot; is this the best you can do?

Before the start of play always make a plan. If a significant finesse is available ask yourself:

1) Will taking this finesse help with making my contract? Is it essential to the success (is it necessary) or an unnecessary risk? Is it avoidable?
2) If this finesse loses, can it endanger my contract? Will the danger hand be on lead? The concept of a 'danger hand' is fundamental to good card play and occurs during the play of many hands in many situations both in no-trumps, where, for example, you wish to prevent a defender from gaining the lead to cash an established suit or equally crucial make a damaging switch; and trump contracts, where as well as the above considerations, preventing a lead for a potential ruff may be a consideration. The taking of a finesse, should it lose, may allow the danger hand to be on lead and avoiding this happening is a crucial part of finesse management.

This theme, the danger hand, will occur in several guises during the seminars.
3) What are the odds of this finesse succeeding, and is there a better line of play? Remember, a finesse is, in isolation, only a 50:50 shot - it wins or it loses!

## The Mechanics of a Finesse

The finesse come in several forms and bridge literature has been given them different names to help differentiate the process by which a particular finesse is achieved. They are however, all reliant to some degree or other on the arrangement of the opponents' outstanding cards.

The Simple Finesse

## North


2. $42 \square A Q 3$
3. $\mathrm{A} 43 \quad \mathrm{Q} 52$
4. QJ10 $\square$ A43

## The Repeat Finesse

AQJ10
5. K986 $\square 75$

432

AKJ102
6. Q985 $\square 765$

43

The Double Finesse
7. $432 \square \mathrm{AJ} 10$
8. J109 $\square$ A32

We are all probably familiar with examples 1-4. These are the types of finesse we are taught early in our bridge playing career and in one form or another occur in almost every hand we play. We lead from the West hand towards the East and play the appropriate card depending on which card North plays. In 1 we hope North holds the Ace, as this is our only chance of making a trick, and we rise with the King if North plays low.

In 2 and 3 we hope the King is well placed in the North hand to make 2 tricks by playing towards the Queen.

In 4 we lead a card from the West hand and if it is not covered and it wins, we repeat the play. We win 2 tricks on a bad day -3 on a good day. Good days and bad days occur with equal probability when it comes to finesses.

Sometimes our card holdings are more robust and we hope to win multiple tricks by way of several finesses in the same suit. The mechanics are no different to the simple finesse but when considering a repeat finesse, it is important to ensure that your entries to the relevant hand are preserved to allow for repeat finesses.
In 5 South may need 3 entries to repeat the finesse 3 times to ensure trapping the King should the suit be distributed as in the example.

In 6 two entries may be needed to repeat the finesse. (Also see examples 23 and 24)

In the following examples we are looking to make 2 tricks from our combined holding. In examples 7 and 8 we are playing for a position commonly referred to as 'split honours'. What this means is that we hope that the outstanding high cards are either both in the North hand or (more likely) split between the defenders.
In 7 we lead towards the AJ10 and if North plays low put in the J or 10 . We then return to hand and lead towards the remaining AJ covering whichever card North plays.

In 8 we set off with the J and return to hand to play the 10 to repeat the finesse if South wins.

Whilst the simple finesse has a $50 \%$ chance of success; the double finesse (to win 2 tricks) is closer to $76 \%$.

Most players will be familiar with the idea of the ruffing finesse. This may entail giving up a trick in a suit where originally there were no losers, in order to generate extra tricks.
9. KQJ1O $\square$ Void

A top card from a sequence is led from either Dummy or hand towards a void with the intention of either ruffing if the card is covered or discarding a loser if it isn't.
In example 9 the King is lead and providing there is an entry, establishing 3 extra tricks.

## Simple finesse v Ruffing finesse

In an attempt to establish extra tricks, during the course of play it may be possible to take either a simple finesse or a ruffing finesse in the same suit. Which you elect to take will often depend on the holdings in your other suits and which of the defenders is perceived to be the danger hand.

Examples 10 and 11 should explain the two considerations.


## 2-way Finesse

When you are missing the Queen of a suit and can finesse either defender for it, this is known as a 2-way finesse.
12. AJ3 $\square \mathrm{K} 102$

Sometimes we are presented with a finesse dilemma: we need 3 tricks
 from a suit to fulfil our contract but have a choice of two ways to play it: the 2 -way finesse. Example 12 is of the most common situations. We can cash one top honour and lead towards the other with the intention of finessing; but which way round?
13. AJ10 $\square$ K32 A similar situation is the layout in example 13 we can lead the Jack towards the King and let it run if not covered or lead towards the Jack for a simple finesse. Short of tossing a coin, what else can we do? This is a position that the experienced player seems to get right more often than the less experienced. Sometimes there will be clues; such as one opponent has opened 1NT and is odds-on to hold the Queen for his bid. Or perhaps one defender has shown up with say 10 cards in the suits not under consideration; the other defender is, by simple maths, more likely to hold the missing Queen.

This topic is discussed in more detail later in the notes but by way of a taster, the best way to deal with these 2-way finesses is, where possible, to encourage the opponents to lead the suit!

## Quick tricks' or 'Extra tricks' finesses (Non trick-gaining finesse)

When it comes to generating tricks, taking a finesse is designed to achieve one of two major objectives: gaining quick tricks without losing the lead; or creating extra tricks where you are prepared to lose the lead. The following examples belong, on the face of it, to the 'simple finesse' group and this is so if all we are aiming to achieve is two quick tricks. However, if the quest is to generate extra tricks the 'simple finesse' will fail you.
14. A32 $\square$ QJ54

In example 14 leading the Queen for a simple finesse will achieve 3 tricks (if that is your goal) only if the suit divides 3:3. Let us consider why. If South holds the King, he will cover the first or second round and if North holds the King, the same applies. Whichever way it is, you will only score 2 top cards and a third must come from a 3-3 break.
A32 $\square_{10976}^{\text {K8 }}$ QJ54
15. AQ32 $\square \mathrm{J} 5$ $J 5$ 5

For 2 quick tricks take the finesse - for more lead towards the QJ54. This will produce 3 tricks when the suit splits 3:3 and also when the $K$ is off-side (just as likely as onside) as in the situation opposite.

A similar situation exists in example 15 where two tricks would be your maximum (barring a singleton King) by way of the simple finesse. The secret to generating extra tricks in these type of hands - unless you have evidence to the contrary - is to lead towards the isolated honour(s) when you will benefit from a well-positioned defender's high card. This is still a 'simple' type of finesse (see examples 1 and 3) but in a more complex setting. For two quick tricks lead towards the AQ; for more lead towards the J.

If you are not certain about this then lay out some cards and try it for yourself.
Non (trick-gaining) Chinese finesse
16. A2 $\square$ Q54

K763

We have seen (example 3) that the correct way to attempt to create two tricks from example 16 is to lead towards the Queen and hope that the King is well placed. However, many players will lead the Queen in a vain hope that the defender declines to cover. This is the play of both a novice (poorly judged) and of the more experienced player (psychological ploy) and is often referred to as a 'Chinese finesse'. If the expert declarer sitting East, with his hand concealed, as in example 16 requires two tricks from this holding and he KNOWS that South holds the King, he may try leading the Queen (from the closed hand) in the hope that South, aware there is nothing to be gained by covering declines to do so! (Remember the principle reason for covering a card in a finesse position is to promote lower cards into winners).

## How to take a finesse.

Now that we have familiarised ourselves with some of the types of finesse situations, it's time to look at how we go about accomplishing our goal. There is more to taking finesses than simply leading a card and keeping your fingers crossed. Much of it has to do with preserving entries and being aware of the 'danger hand'.

## The card you initially lead.

17. $76 \square_{\text {AJ104 }}^{\square} \mathrm{K} 852$

In this example you are sitting South with North on lead. if you intend to finesse, it is important to start with the 9 (playing the 4 from hand), then the $Q$ and then a small card if East declines to cover. If you start with the $Q$ and then the 9 and East covers you will be in-hand at trick 2 unable to repeat the finesse unless you have an entry to Dummy. Or perhaps you have an entry but don't wish to use it at this time as it may weaken your holding in the suit.

It is possible to formulate a general principle here:

When Declarer and Dummy's cards are effectively equals, it is important to play the lowest equal card from Dummy first so as to be able to remain in Dummy to repeat the finesse.

Needing 3 tricks from this combination you intend to take a double finesse.

18. K4 Q Q $\quad$| If entries to Dummy (North) are limited you should initially play small to |
| :--- |
| the J or 10 and then, when next in Dummy play the 9 playing the 8 from |
| hand. If the 9 is not covered you are conveniently still in dummy and in a |
| position to finesse again. This way you make 3 tricks providing the honours |
| are split. If you set off with the 9 you can only take the finesse once more |
| and only make 2 tricks unless you have an additional entry to Dummy or |
| the suit breaks $3: 3$. |

It is possible to formulate general principle here too:

Where entries are limited, when taking a double finesse and you have equal cards in Dummy and hand, you should first play to an equal card in hand and then the equal card from Dummy.

## The number of tricks required.

The number of tricks you need may influence the way you play the suit. There are thousands of card combinations listed in books illustrating the 'correct percentage' play from specific card holdings but the following few are common situations involving simple finesses.

If you require 5-tricks from the following N -S holding:

| 19. Q43 | Small from dummy to the Jack and cash Ace hoping for the position in 19. <br> Leading the Queen can never be right as East will cover and West will make <br> his 10. |
| :--- | :--- |

AJ765

The next 2 examples (examples 20 and 21 ) are common combinations and are often misplayed and are worthy of study.

| 20. | KJ54 $\square$ A87 | In example 21 if you need 4 tricks: You have little choice but to play West for Qxx and finesse. Don't hold your breath as this is only about an $18 \%$ chance. <br> But if you need 3 tricks however, and can afford a loser, how should you approach this combination? Most would still take the finesse and should it fail rely on the outstanding cards splitting. The overall chance of this succeeding is around $68 \%$. Not bad odds but you can do better. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10962 | KJ54 $\square$ $\square$ | The most profitable way to approach this combination is small to the King then back to the Ace and then back towards the Jack. As well as taking advantage of the finesse working (the Jack is still well placed to take a trick should the finesse be right all along) and the 3:3 split, you have also catered for the situation opposite when the Queen is offside and doubleton as in the example opposite. You have just improved your chances to around $77 \%$. |
| 21. | 8642 $\square$ | In example 21 if you need 5 tricks: You have little choice but to play East for Kx and finesse. |
| H | AQ1053 <br> 8642 H97 <br> AQ1053 | But what if you only need 4 tricks. Do you finesse the 10 or the Queen? If you finesse the 10 and lose to the Jack do you finesse on the next round or play for the drop, that is a 2:2 split? If you finesse the Queen and this loses to the King do you finesse the 10 next time? The answer is none of the above. The best percentage play is to play the Ace then lead from the North hand towards your remaining Q1053. This allows you to both drop a singleton honour offside whilst still catering for a well-placed K onside or a 2-2 split. You are getting the best of all worlds. The situation of the left is the one you are catering for. If West holds KJx then there was nothing you could have done to avoid two losers. |

It is possible to formulate a general principle here too:

## Before attacking a suit with finesse possibilities, ask yourself how many tricks you need and then try and play accordingly.

And: When you have isolated honours if you can afford to lose a trick, it is generally correct to lead towards the honours rather than finesse.

Some finesse situations may seem obvious but it is good to know the correct approach should the situation arise.
22.

| AJ9 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | If you need 2 tricks from the combination shown in example 22, always take the 'deep finesse' first. (Unless you KNOW that West holds both the K\&Q) finesse the 9 then the $J$. |
| 854 | You are in effect finessing against West holding the 10 as in the example below. |

AJ9
H1063 $\square$ H72 ' H ' represents either of the honour cards

Cashing a top card before taking the finesse.

| 23. | AKJ105 | 24 in the hope of dropping a singleton Queen ( $2 \%$ chance - but every little helps) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | and then finesse if she doesn't appear. Whilst the examples are similar, in that |
|  |  | hold the identical 8 cards in both hands, the distribution of the suit is different. If |
|  | 643 | you are trying to maximise the number of tricks you can make It would be correct |
|  | 643 | to cash the Ace in example 23 but not 24. |
|  |  | Why? When is it right to cash a top card before taking a finesse? |
| 24. | AKJ1065 | If the suit breaks evenly and the Queen in on-side it doesn't really matter which option you take but what if the suit splits $4: 1$ which will happen around $15 \%$ of the time? Assuming entries to the South hand are readily available, as mentioned it |
|  |  |  |
|  | 43 | would probably be right to first cash the Ace in example 23 but not 24. By cashing |
|  |  | the Ace, you cater for the singleton $Q$ in both cases but in example 23 you are still in a position to pick up Qxxx in the West hand by taking 2 more finesses as you |
|  |  | have sufficient small cards to do it, but not in 24 because you have insufficient cards and can only now take the finesse once more. Whilst the extra chance of picking up a singleton $Q$ is not to be scorned the chance of the suit splitting |
|  |  | Qxxx: x as opposed to xxxx: $Q$ is, as you can appreciate, 4 times greater and you will need both your small cards to take 2 finesses to cater for this situation. |

8 ever - 9 never.
Whilst we are talking about small percentage chances how do we play this combination?

| 25. | K32 $\square$ <br> AJ7654 | We are 'taught' 8 ever-9 never when we hold either 8 or 9 cards respectively in a suit and are looking for a missing Queen. Consider example 25. In fact, statistically a 3:1 split is more likely than 2:2 and it is only when we cash one top honour and cater for a singleton Queen do the odds switch in favour of playing for the drop. So, in isolation play for the drop. <br> BUT rarely are you playing a suit (or taking a finesse) in isolation; it is part of a whole hand. Sometimes the bidding will suggest taking the finesse (for example, LHO may have pre-empted and is thus more likely to be short in a suit) or, by taking the finesse - even if it loses - you guarantee your contract as in example 26. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26. | A A43 <br> - AKQ54 <br> - 65 <br> - J109 | As South you arrive in the sound contract of $4 \boldsymbol{A}$. West plays 2 top clubs and you ruff. There seems to be plenty of tricks once you draw trumps; so how do you intend to draw them? If you invoke ' 9 never' and play for the drop, you may have just gone down in a cold contract? Taking the finesse is the 'correct' way to play the hand in context if not the suit in isolation. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \square \\ & \text { \& KJ10982 } \\ & \bullet \text { J62 } \\ & \text { K92 } \\ & \& 7 \end{aligned}$ | The only way to go down in this contract is if you permit East to gain the lead and play a diamond through your potentially vulnerable $\leqslant$ K92 holding before you can dispose of some of them on dummy's good hearts. East is the danger hand. (See examples 10 and 11 for the same - recurring - theme). This entry can only be in trumps so the finesse is a safety play to guarantee your contract. If the finesse loses your $K$ is protected from attack by West and you can still count 10 tricks and if it wins - you have an overtrick! |

The full hand may look something like this:


## The Marked Finesse

In principle finesses are a 50:50 shot but some finesses are $100 \%$ certain once you discover the position of a few cards. These are termed a 'marked finesse'. 27 is a simple example:
27.

| AK5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 | J 9842 |
|  | Q1073 |

When you cash the AK in dummy (it is almost always right to cash the high cards in the short hand first) and West shows out, it is automatic to take the 'marked finesse' against East's Jack. Whilst this example is trivial, the principle leads onto a more advanced form of play, such as the following.

## Taking the finesse or playing for the drop?

Whilst the above example is obvious, it is worthy of mention because there are a number of hands where the situation in a suit clarifies itself once the distribution of the other suits is known. Often, we need to take a view when holding a 7 -card fit, whether to 'play for the drop' (a 3:3 split of the outstanding cards) or 'take the finesse' when looking for a Jack. An experienced player will, as has already been pointed out, leave finesse situations as long as possible, until other possibilities and other suits have been investigated.

The hand below is a good example.
You play in 6NT and receive the lead of the $\boldsymbol{A}$. What are your chances?

| 28. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A653 } \\ & \vee \text { AK6 } \\ & \text { QJ5 } \\ & \text { K65 } \end{aligned}$ |  | You have 8 tricks outside the Club suit so need 4 tricks here to make your contract (given the opening lead the 3-3 spade break seems unlikely). Do you take the $50 \%$ finesse of the $\%$ or play for the 3:3 break with the possibility of the J coming down in two rounds? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QJ1092 } \\ & \vee 2 \\ & \bullet 108742 \\ & * 72 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } 4 \\ & \bullet ~ J 107543 \\ & \text { • } 96 \\ & \& J 983 \end{aligned}$ | The secret to success, as is the case with a huge number of hands, is counting. As 13 tricks are out of the question and in preparation to getting a better picture of the hand it costs nothing to duck the first spade (also catering for a 3:3 spade break) and win the probable |
|  | ^ K 87 <br> - Q98 <br> - AK3 <br> $\therefore$ AQ104 |  | spade continuation, East discarding a heart. Now when you cash your top tricks leaving the critical Club suit to the end, you notice that West started with 5 spades, 5 diamonds (East discards again) only 1 heart and thus can hold ONLY 2 CLUBS! The counting involved adding up to 13 . The $\& \mathrm{~A}$ and small to the $\& \mathrm{~K}$ reveals that one of West's 2 clubs was not the Jack and you can now take the 'marked' finesse against East in the knowledge he holds 4 cards in the suit which includes the \&J. |

Once again you are in 6NT with the $₫ Q$ lead but we have altered the defenders' cards a little:

| 29. A653 | Now when we do a count up (duck the spade and cash top cards <br> except for clubs) we find that West started with 4 spades (East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| followed to 2 rounds but not the third), 3 or 4 diamonds, only 2 |  |
| hearts and so 3 or possibly 4 clubs. Your only choice is to play for |  |
| the drop. Again, simply counting to 13 gives you the right way to |  |
| play the suit. |  |

Things are not always so clear cut as the examples above but that should not stop you doing the same detective work.

| 30. | A A65 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - AK6 |  |
|  | - QJ52 |  |
|  | \& KJ5 |  |
| A QJ1032 |  | - 94 |
| $\checkmark 42$ |  | $\checkmark$ J10753 |
| - 10874 | $\square$ | - 9 |
| - 72 |  | * Q9863 |
|  | A K87 |  |
|  | - QJ9 |  |
|  | - AK93 |  |
|  | \& A104 |  |

Once again you are in 6NT (you do pick up some really good hands) again on the lead of the $\uparrow Q$. The duplication in distribution means that you need a correct guess as to who holds the $\&$ to land your contract. You could spin a coin and $50 \%$ of the time you will be right but you can substantially increase the odds in your favour by doing your detective work.

You duck the $₫ Q$ lead to help you gain the count (spot the recurring theme?) and cash your top tricks discovering that West has 2 clubs and thus East 5. The odds therefore of East holding the Queen are now 5:2 or two and a half times greater than West. Your 50:50 shot has now become over 70\%: finesse East for the Queen. On a bad day when you lose to the doubleton Queen, you have the comfort of knowing that you played the hand to best advantage with the odds.

Before we leave this section on taking finesses, I am going to conclude with another type of finesse; 'The Practice Finesse'. This is a way of saying, "When you have a choice of finesses, take the one that will generate sufficient tricks to fulfil your contract making the other one unnecessary." Or, put another way, "don't take 2 finesses where one will do". The following example should show you the idea.
31. 54

| Sitting South you are playing in diamonds and having drawn trumps |
| :--- |
| you reach this position having to take all the remaining tricks to make |
| your contract. East who is on lead plays a spade. You may be tempted |
| to finesse, after all you have a losing spade, but even if you strongly |
| suspect that East holds the King, there is no reason to finesse and you |
| should rise with the Ace. Finessing would be a 'Practice Finesse' |
| because even if the finesse were to win you still need the heart |
| finesse to succeed to make your contract - and if the heart finesse |
| succeeds then there will be spare tricks on which to discard your |
| losing spade. |


| AQ lead |
| :--- | :--- |

## The art of not taking finesses: Looking for a better option.

"Show me a player who goes out of his way to avoid a finesse, and I will show you a winning player".
Beginners learn how to take a finesse - experts and improvers learn to avoid them if they can, or at least leave them as a last resort - because 50:50 are not great odds!

We have seen in the above examples ways and reasons behind taking or in certain situations, refusing to take, finesses. The following sections look at ways of either avoiding finesses or leaving them to the end of the play as a last resort.

## Planning when to take a finesse - Ways to avoid taking a finesse.

In No Trumps additional options may include:
Count your winners! Sometimes a finesse offers a source of tricks if it works but risks the contract if it loses. At Pairs you have a tricky choice as overtricks are important but at teams you should never take a risky finesse when you can be sure of your contract without it, as in example 32 below. If you have enough tricks take the money and run! I am sure that most of us would get this one right but......
32. KJ 3

- 64
- AQ85
\& KJ53


Q87

- AQ
- 9732
$\% A Q 102$

You need to plan your play in 3NT on the lead of the $\vee J$ to East's King and you win with the $\vee$ A. A successful diamond finesse will give you 10 tricks. However, what happens if it loses? East will clear the hearts and the defenders will then sit back to cash them when you knock out the A A which you need to do to reach 9 tricks. Down 1 or 2 when the contract is cold!

With 7 tricks on top - knock out the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and claim 9. (Finessaholics please note!)

## Combining Chances.

Cash outside winners first 'just in case' something good happens to avoid taking a finesse.
i) If possible, try cashing a 7-card suit to see if the outstanding cards split 3:3 (36\%)
ii) Faced with a choice of finesses in 2 suits each missing a Queen, and not being able to let the opponents in, play the Ace-King of your combined longer suit (example 33)
iii) And when all this fails take the finesse in the short suit. (finesses are 50-50 irrespective of the number of cards you hold in the suit).
33. AQ

* A875

\& KJ32

Playing in no-trumps and needing 4 of the last 6 tricks but unable to let the defenders in, unless there is strong evidence to the contrary, you should cash your top clubs ending in the South hand declining the finesse in the hope of dropping the Queen and then finesse the diamonds.

The following hand shows declarer taking every chance available.
Behind in a team match your partner (bless her!) propels you into 7NT. With 12 tricks on top you need to conjure up a thirteenth. How do you rate your chances?

The order of play to give you the best odds on the lead of the $\leqslant 10$ might be:

| 34. | A AKQ10 | Win in Dummy and: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Q54 | i) | Cash 3 r |
|  | - J6 | ii) |  |
|  | \& K972 |  | If this fail longest |
|  |  | iii) | and finall clubs fro |
|  | A 9 |  |  |
|  | - AK82 | This adds up to a total chance of around 74\%. Not bad odds for a Grand! |  |
|  | - AKQ4 | *There may be squeeze positions available as well. |  |
|  | \& AJ74 |  |  |

## In trump contracts:

Setting up a side suit: If entries allow, it may be better to try and establish a side suit rather than take a risky finesse. Whilst a finesse offers a $50 \%$ chance of success; a 3:3 or $4: 2$ split offers just over a $70 \%$ chance. To do this, entries must be available and used to best advantage.

## The 100\% 2-way finesse!

We have seen the following card combination several time already; how do you play it for 3 tricks?
35. A103

To digress a little, there are many combinations of cards which will produce a better or more certain yield of tricks if defenders can be persuaded to make the first lead in the suit.

## 36. 5454

$\square$ or $\square$| In both of these situations if West can be 'encouraged' to lead the suit your |
| :--- |
| guess is removed. |

K2 AQ

Similarly, either opponent leading the following suits.....
37. A94

Q107
A106


K92
Q43
.... will often allow declarer to play the combinations to best effects. (This is the realm of 'frozen-suits' but we will limit ourselves to discussing the 2-way finesse).

Remember this hand (30) from earlier where you played in 6NT and you had to find the \&Q? This time you reach the superior contract of $6 \leqslant$ - superior because it requires little more than a 3:2 trump split.

38. | Whereas the mirror distribution was a disappointment in 6NT it proves to be |
| :--- |
| an asset in 6 |

In 6NT we ducked a spade, cashed winners to get a count of the hand and
hoped to judge who held the \&Q. Now the losing spade can be put to another
good use. This time we win the AQ lead, draw trumps, cash the top hearts and
spade and exit with our losing spade. Whichever defender wins will now have
to either open up the Club suit or provide you with an equally welcomed ruff
and discard.

Whilst this series of lessons is not about elimination and endplays this example illustrates a relatively simple process to avoid taking a coin-toss finesse. Some hands need a bit more work than the example above to get to the situation of bringing about an endplay but you get the idea. It is a case of having a mindset that says, "I may need to take a finesse for my contract; what can I do avoid it?"

To finish a simple quiz hand.

A Q2

| K9743 | Q: You play in $6 \vee$ from South on the lead of the $\&$ Q. You win with the |
| :--- | :--- |
| K74 | K4 and draw trumps in 2 rounds. Which card do you lead next and |
|  | why? |

\& A42
$\%$ Q lead


A A83

- AQJ102
- AJ5
$\%$ K6

