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All players should be aware that if they plan to travel to Hala Stulecia by car, their journey may take up to 20 minutes longer from Monday 22nd August onwards. This is because of repairs to the bridge that provides access to the venue. So please allow more time if you plan to travel by car. This should not affect the trams.


## Medals, Medals, Medals ....



# Women's Pairs Semi-final A Stanza 1 

by Barry Rigal and Herman De wael

For stanza one of the Women's Semi-final A Herman De wael and I would watch at separate tables and try to combine our impressions.
HDW "This time, I decided to follow my instincts and concentrate on my friends. Ever since Poznań in 201I, where team Kapadokya miraculously made it to the top8 of the qualifying stage on the last board, and then won three knock-out matches to become European champions, I have been friends with a number of Turkish women players. Since they are the runners-up of the most recent Venice Cup, there was no reason not to follow them. All three Turkish pairs had made it to the A-semifinal and they were due to meet each other in the first four rounds."
Barry decided he would go where the wind took him and look for interesting match-ups.
The first deal of the set seemed to be about limiting the damage in a heart part score.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


Jovanka Smederevac

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Brock | Dhondy |
| - | Pass |
| $1 \varnothing$ | 19 |
| $2 \varnothing$ | All Pass |

## East

Smederevac Pass
Pass

South
Nettleton
Pass
INT

Smederevac took the low road at her second turn but could not keep Brock out. Dhondy led the after some thought (a low spade is certainly a palatable alternative) to South's king and Brock did not unblock the Q .
Back came a club. The defenders cashed three rounds ending in South - at which point the I3th club leaves the defence very nicely placed. In fact Nettleton shifted to the QQ, not envisaging her partner with a top heart, and Brock won the ace and continued with the 10 , trying to pin the nine. Dhondy won and exited with a spade. Brock hopped up with the ace, crashing her $\uparrow$, finessed in diamonds and gave South her 89 for down one. (4 matchpoints to N/S, 10 to E/W)

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kandolu | inal | Elmas | Babaç |
| - | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $1 Q$ | Pass |
| $2 \varnothing$ | All Pass |  |  |

Gaye İnal decided not to overcall and heard the spades mentioned behind her. She selected the $\diamond 4$ as her lead. Özlem Kandolu took the $\triangleleft$ J, cashed the $\diamond$ A, played a spade to the table and ran the 8 J . North played another spade and South won her king and played her $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. Declarer ruffed, then played the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ (discarding a diamond), and the SIO , which South took to cash another heart. South now attacked clubs, which declarer ducked. The defenders cashed out clubs and played a spade for declarer to ruff, who then took the rest, for two down. (II for N/S, 3 for E/W).
On the second deal at pretty much every table South would have been faced with the problem of whether to cash out an ace-king against 3NT or try for more. With declarer having opened 2 NT , dummy having a nine-count, and you holding an II-count there was a cast-iron case for taking the tricks. The good news was that special rules applied so that when you failed to cash those winners you were entitled to take the ace-king forward to the next deal, because indeed the rats got at them. Thus declarer took all but one of the remaining tricks instead of all but two...
For the record only two of the eight tables cashed out.
On the next deal Brock heard the auction proceed
 to her. She sportingly raised to $3>$ with a 3-3-2-5 sixcount consisting of KQ . Right she was! Dhondy now had to guess whether to bid $4 \diamond$ or $5 \diamond$ and got it wrong by

bidding game. Had Brock passed, Dhondy's $4 \diamond$ call would have got her side to the right spot. To add insult to injury Smederevac doubled, and -I00 was worth 8 for her side.
At the end of the first session Kolen-Arnolds were comfortably in the lead. I watched them over the next three deals, where some interesting opportunities presented themselves both on play and defence.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  |  | ¢ 54 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 8 |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ Q 962 |  |
|  |  | 2K976 |  |
| - J 932 |  | N | -1076 |
| ¢J109 |  | $W^{N}$ | $\bigcirc 75432$ |
| $\checkmark 105$ |  | W | $\diamond$ KJ 3 |
| Q QJ 52 |  |  | ¢ A 4 |
|  |  | - $\mathrm{AK}^{\text {K }} 8$ |  |
|  |  | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ} 6$ |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ A 874 |  |
|  |  | -1083 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kandolu | Arnolds | Elmas | Kolen |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Kolen took the 8 J in hand and correctly diagnosed to go after clubs not diamonds). She tried the 210 , West ducking impassively, and let it run. When that lost to the ace, she was well-placed already. Back came the $\$ 7$ and when Kolen won this it occurred to me that leading the $\diamond 8$ and letting it run if her LHO didn't put up the king was at least a plausible play. Kolen actually played $\diamond$ A and the $\diamond 7$ to the ten and queen. When a spade came back, she won the ace, and tried a diamond to the nine, leaving herself a re-entry to hand. That ensured her of nine tricks; when West committed a 'finger-fehler' and let go the $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on
the third diamond, declarer suddenly had 10 tricks. That was worth all I4 MP while 600 would have been 10 MPs.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yavaș | Inal | Özgür | Babaç |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2 NT* $^{*}$ | Pass | 3 ? |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Mine Babaç got the same $\vee \mathrm{J}$ lead, but took the ace in dummy. She did begin with a diamond to the ace and a second one to the queen. That meant the contract ended up one down when declarer lost four tricks in the minors and a long card in hearts.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/SVul.


Arnolds correctly determined that her side had more than half the deck, and expected that $3 \checkmark$ would have been making (as it could do). The double was certainly reasonable to try and protect her expected +140 . Now all she had to do was beat it.
She led a top spade and received discouragement, and now I think there is certainly a case for playing three rounds of spades, and not getting active. The heart shift at trick two was fatal. Declarer could arrange a heart ruff in dummy, trumps behaved in incredibly friendly fashion, and that was +510 and all the MP for E/W.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yavaș | inal | Özgür | Babaç |
| - | 18 | Pass | INT* |
| 2\% | Pass | 3\% | 3 |

## All Pass

The defence started with two club tricks and prepared the diamond ruff which materialized after the trump ace. The spade loser went on the diamond. Just made (I2/2).
It is always fun to watch teammates or compatriots play against one another. Over the next three deals I saw

McCallum-Baker take on one of their teammates with whom they had played in the McConnell. On the first hand Baker brought home 3NT with $\wp / 4$ facing $\vee$ Q5 even though the opponents led the suit. (The opening lead was the 810 which was allowed to run to the queen - and yes, the defender in third seat with 『A863 didn't seem to have much reason to duck, since declarer had denied more than three cards in this suit).
Moving on to stuff more suitable for display in a bulletin where readers are known to have sensitive stomachs:

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

| $\triangle K$$\triangle>2$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ8532 |  |  |  |  |
| \& A Q 63 |  |  |  |  |
| - A 7543 |  | N | -10862 |  |
| PAJ64 |  |  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |
| $\diamond$ K S $\quad \diamond 10976$ |  |  |  |  |
| 21074 S 95 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ 85 |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ A 4 |  |  |  |  |
| \% KJ 82 |  |  |  |  |
| West | North |  |  | East | South |
| Eythorsdottir | Smederevac |  | Seamon-Molson | Brock |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 2 - |  | Pass | 2. |
| Pass | 3\% |  | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |  |

Seamon-Molson was unable systemically to make a preemptive raise in spades, so stayed silent. Brock declared 3NT and won the heart lead cheaply in hand then thoughtfully played the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, and was delighted with the result. Now she played a spade up; Eythorsdottir ducked, so Brock came to hand with a top club and led another top spade. Eythorsdottir won the A as dummy pitched a heart, and, not knowing if the diamonds were running, took her 8 A. That meant declarer had 660 . I suppose this is the flip side of board two, where the cash out cost a lot of matchpoints.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yavas | Elmas | Özgür | Kandolu |
| 1s | $2 \diamond$ | 3 s. $^{*}$ | 3NT |
| $4 \triangleq$ | Dble* | Pass | 4NT |

## All Pass

Yavas made the unfortunate lead of the 4 , which meant that Kandolu was able to even overcome the 4-I split of the diamonds and arrive at 10 tricks.
On the last round of the stanza, I watched Cathy and Sophia Baldysz take on Babaç and İnal. On the second deal Cathy had 21043 facing 92 and the only point of the deal after trick one was how to score a trick in this suit (the opponents could not be sure this was so.) She led the \&9 from hand; her LHO went up with the ace, and shifted to a suit declarer could win in hand. Now the question is
whether you stick with your original plan of playing for the jack onside or are diverted by LHO's decision to fly up with the into playing for the onside. Be careful! If you go up with the queen and are wrong, your LHO will 'own' you. If you lead to the 10 and are wrong, well you were never going to get the suit right anyway.
So thought Cathy - but indeed her LHO had AK without the
On the last board of the stanza Sophia had decisions in the bidding and play.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- KQ 5
$\triangleright$ KQ 6
$\diamond$ A 102
- A QJ 5

$$
\text { AJ } 1093
$$

$\vee J 94$
$\diamond$ K 94
$\otimes K 9$

\& 84
PA5
$\diamond$ QJ 8765
\& 42

Pass $4 \bigcirc$ All Pass
Sophia Baldysz did not hold back in the auction, on a sequence where partner rated at most to have a jack and wasn't terribly likely to have even that. Babaç's choice of a low diamond rather than the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ was mystifying. It certainly fooled İnal, who put in the nine. Sophia won her $\diamond I 0$, ruffed a diamond, and led a heart to the king and ace. Back came a third diamond. Sophia pitched a spade and won her $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, cashed the $\oslash \mathrm{Q}$, and gave up a spade. With the club finesse working, declarer had her 10 tricks and I2/2 MP.


## Open Pairs Final Session 2

by Ron Tacchi

The second session of the Open Pairs Final was only ten boards long. I set myself the goal of trying to follow four BBO rooms in real time and create some copy, also in real time. If I am successful, then there will not be the matchpoints available - perhaps I can add them after completion of the report. Wish me luck and I hope my fingers do not become entangled when attempting to type at speed.
The first board gave me three different scores for E/W 110,120 and 200.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/SVul.


On the lead of a club, dummy's queen won the trick. Declarer had no obvious route to seven tricks and the best bet seemed to tackle the spades and when a small spade was led from dummy West split his honours. After the ace the 10 from hand saw West duck and now declarer was only going to make his two aces for two off and -200. West's silence earned a very good score, 19/37.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Miechowicz | Sobczak | Kotorowicz | J. Patreuha |
| I | l\& | Pass | I $\diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | INT | All Pass |

I assume the One Diamond response showed four hearts, but no alert was indicated. East, not having a fit with his partner, tried INT and was rewarded with the lead of a small heart, which ran round to his jack. With the aid of a finesse against South's queen declarer took three more heart tricks. A club to the 10 gave South the lead with his queen and he switched to a spade to the jack and the ace. The 10 followed to West's king and a small club brought forth North's ace. The defence now just had a top spade and the ace of diamonds to come, so a score of +I20 for E/W, 3I/25.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Starkowski | Arlovich | Kwiecien | Vainikonis |
| - | $1 \&$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \dot{2}$ | All Pass |

Here East tried the club suit and made nine tricks but +110 is less than 120 , so a poor score of 39/I7.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.


An auction which provides the onlooker with some amusement and especially for those of us who like to bring to attention any flouting of Burns' first law. This is a fine example as not only do the opponents have most trumps, but they are all in one hand. It has been a long-held ambition of mine to find myself in West's position. This is probably as close as I will ever get to it. I am prepared to go out on a limb and say it will be close to a bottom for $N / S$ - it proved to be $0 / 56$.
The third board I watched gave me four different scores:


Board 23. Dealer South.AllVul.


This was a standard auction and at all four tables it was the same contract. I saw $9,10,11$, and 12 twelve tricks made. The table where twelve tricks were made had the lead of a trump, won in hand. Then a club towards dummy, whereupon North rose with his ace and exited with a diamond. Declarer was then able to take a second club finesse to disembarrass himself of his losing spade and ruff his remaining losing diamonds in dummy. The case where the contract failed was when declarer did not tackle clubs but tried to set up diamonds. Here, the defence managed to get in two rounds of trumps and so declarer was left with two losing diamonds and two black aces. 9 tricks was $52 / 4$, 10 tricks $36 / 20$, II tricks $40 / \mathrm{I} 6$ and 12 tricks $53 / 3$.
I keep hoping for a simple flat board, but no, now we have a board varying from +800 to -130 .

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.


I do not think the $5 \diamond$ bid will win the bid of the year award. To add insult to injury it was scored as down four when it was plainly only three down. I suspected a
change of score from -800 to -500 would not alter the matchpoints - as it happened they would have collected 2 instead of a zero. The best result for the hand with all the clubs I saw was when it was opened Four Clubs and allowed to play there and make for a score of +130 , the only plus score I saw for E/W, worth I5/4I.
Fortunately for my tiring fingers, Board 25 was a flattish 3NT.

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | Q Q 875 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 9754$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 42$ |  |
|  | c) 76 |  |
| - A 1064 |  | - K 2 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 862 | $W^{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ KJ |
| $\checkmark 863$ | W | $\checkmark$ AKJ 1097 |
| - Q 3 | S | - 542 |
|  | ¢ J 93 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 103 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 5 |  |
|  | * AK 10 |  |

All tables reached 3 NT . One of the tables succeeded when North decided against unblocking his jack after South cashed the ace and the king of clubs. At another two tables it failed when North was awake and unblocked. Another East suffered the indignity of going down when a small club was led, and he correctly rose with the queen but then took a losing diamond finesse. +660 was $5 / 5$ I, +630 20/36 and -I00 45/II.
The remaining four boards had much less scope for selfimmolation and were fairly flat. An interesting experience of trying to follow four rooms simultaneously.


Mateusz Sobczak

## A Game of Queens

by Mark Horton

Tom Hanlon does not like to blow his own trumpet, but some deals are too pretty to remain unmentioned. This is from the third session of the Open Pairs Final:

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

|  |  | 632 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 752 |  |
|  | \% |  |  |
| $\pm 10$ | 54 |  | $\pm K J$ |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  | N | QQ 865 |
| $\checkmark 10$ |  | E | $\diamond$ A Q 3 |
| ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A} 8$ |  | S | ¢K954 |
|  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  | 9432 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ |  |  |
|  |  | 632 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Volcker | M. Rimstedt | Hanlon | O. Rimstedt |
| - | Pass | INT | $2{ }^{*}$ |
| 3 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 3s | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |
| $2 \checkmark$ Po | or round suits |  |  |
| 3 Inv | nal+ in spades |  |  |

The $\diamond J$ was led, for Tom's queen. He realized that there was not much chance unless the Q was singleton, so he played the king at trick 2 ! Success!
But his work was not yet finished. Tom next played the仯, ducked by North. He went to the table with the A and played spades until North took the ace. He won the heart return in dummy and drew the outstanding trumps to reach this ending:


When Tom came to hand with a diamond South could not afford to pitch a heart as declarer would then play a heart, setting up the queen. However, when he threw a club Tom could cash the king of clubs and exit with a club forcing South to surrender the game going trick to the queen of hearts.
+620 was not quite a top because three Easts made a tenth trick in 3NT and two North's went for -800 in 2s doubled, but no-one else took ten tricks in spades.


Tom Hanlon


## Better at the WBF than at the Vatican

Tomasz Wolfke interviews the President Emeritus of the WBF, Gianarrigo Rona.

The Catholic Church has one Pope Emeritus. There are two Presidents Emeriti in the World Bridge Federation (WBF) since Thursday. Somehow it happened in this organization that the third new president elected in a row (José Damiani - Gianarrigo Rona - Jan Kamras) follows the same path. It leads from the helm of the European Bridge Federation (EBL) to the captain's bridge of the world. And the predecessor is honoured with the title of Emeritus, or the honorary president. Since yesterday, Gianarrigo Rona has the same title as José Damiani, but the 82 -year-old Italian is still formally in full power until the end of the year, when the ten years younger Malmö Swede will begin his tenure.

Tomasz Wolfke: Is this European succession accidental, or is it some unwritten rule in the WBF, a customary law?
Gianarrigo Rona: Of course not! (smile) We are fully democratic, strictly regulated by statute, board elections, although Jan was indeed the only candidate for the presidency. Simply put, Europe is by far the strongest bridge zone in the world. The WBF has nearly fifty members from this continent, which is almost half of the hundred and seven national bridge unions that belong to the world federation. And - for example - in North America there are only three. If I count correctly, of the twelve presidents throughout history, since 1958, nine have been Europeans. Of course, there are more Americans, Chinese or Indians playing, but the heart of bridge beats on the Old Continent. Here are the oldest bridge traditions and culture, education in schools, clubs ...
In addition, this is our organization's management model, that the function of the WBF president is completely non-profit, and even though - as I know from my own experience - it may not require additional payments from your own pocket, it cannot be reconciled with any professional work. Therefore, it is not easy to find such a "madman" who will devote himself to work for free, often several hours a day. I could only afford it when I had retired. And anyway, I want to thank my family as beautifully as I can - my wife, daughter, son, grandchildren - for accepting my, if not physical, then mental "absence" for so many years.

## You are leaving after three terms. Fulfilled?

Thanks to bridge I had a fantastic, long adventure. It started in the late seventies in an Italian union. I owe a lot to the world's bridge family. I visited almost all countries of


Gianarrigo Rona
the world, because there was no chance to send a board member, for example - they wanted the president to come personally everywhere ... Not only did I meet people from all over the world, but I also learned a lot from them. Different cultures, ways of thinking or solving problems, comparing all ideas and rubbing compromises.
I am not quitting because of ill health. I am still enthusiastic, curious about the world, with a clear mind and fit body. For example, I am very excited now what it will be like to reorganize my life in my eighties. I will definitely read the books my wife bought me, and which - even though I love reading! - I had put on the bookshelf, because after a whole day of studying various papers - contracts, regulations, projects, etc. - in the evenings I didn't have the strength to look at the written word and only the TV was left. And we will take a dog again, in place of our beloved female sheepdog, who left us two years ago ... So, I am fulfilled, free and happy. Also, because I have gained thousands of new acquaintances and friends.

## There are certainly many Poles among them ...

Sure, I could exchange for hours, if I forget about someone, I apologize in advance ... It started back when I was playing myself, when the Italian and Polish bridge were
very close to each other. We went to you, you - to us. For example, the Tropheo Campari tournament in Milan was sometimes almost the international championship of Poland! I will mention only the best Polish rivals from those times, and at the same time my wonderful colleagues: (Marek) Kudła, (Andrzej) Milde, Marian Frenkiel - an excellent player, but also the president of your union, (Andrzej) Macieszczak, (Andrzej) Wilkosz, (Lukasz) Lebioda ... Later "juniors" who are seniors today: couple (Krzysztof) Martens/(Tomasz) Przybora, Apolinary Kowalski, (Cezary) Balicki, (Adam) Żmudziński, husband and wife (Irena and Jan) Chodorowscy, (Piotr) Gawryś, who we called "Diabolo"... And finally, the current stars: (Jacek) Kalita, (Michał) Nowosadzki, (Michał) Klukowski ... I cooperated a lot with your previous president, Radek Kielbasinski.
I have been to Poland several times for sure, and I am in Wroclaw for the fifth time. I feel at home with you, I have wonderful memories and I am proud of your development and progress, not only in bridge. You organize - a lot and well - international competitions, bridge is developing fantastically in your country, you are in the top three next to France, the Netherlands, Italy and the United States - world powers. And it is in every respect: sports, popularizing, teaching, organizational. I wish you all the best, keep it up! And maybe I will come to Poland as a tourist, because, for example, I haven't been to Krakow yet.

## And during this time ... what problems will your successor have to grapple with?

The financial situation of WBF is stable and there are no threats that this will change. Unfortunately, we lost two full years of playing as a result of the pandemic. Worse, we also lost a lot of bridge players during this time. And not only in the most tragic, literal sense - although many
of my generation have passed away forever; too many ... Many bridge clubs have closed all over the world, people have left the tables covered with green cloth. Just as they stopped going to the cinema or theatre or to work in the sense of the place where it was performed. And our sport is a sport that takes place at the table, a social sport. Its salt is a competition during real and not virtual meetings - at every level, masterfully in sports or family friendly.We must rebuild it! And to infect young people all over the world with the bridge bug.After all, someone must replace us at these tables ... I strongly believe that it will be so, and I saw a glimmer of hope a few weeks ago during the Youth Festival in Salsomaggiore, where four teams from completely exotic Ecuador played bridge.


## Gianarrigo RONA

Born on November 18, 1940 in Rome, he lives (or rather resides, because he spends three hundred days a year away from home) in Pavia (Lombardy). Italian bridge champion Anna Claudia was his mother. Lawyer by education (fourth generation of continuing family traditions). In his youth he played not only bridge, but also basketball - in the Italian premier league; he was also active in motorboat sports.
The last tournament he took part in was the first Rosenblum Cup in 1978 (won by the Polish team: Frenkiel, Macieszczak, Polec and Wilkosz). In 1986, he became president of the Italian Bridge Federation (FIGB) and held that position until 2009 - parallel to the presidency of the European Bridge League (EBL) from 1999. In 2010 he was elected president of the World Bridge Federation (WBF), his third and final term will end on December 31, 2022.



Nickell finished third and Ventin eighth in the Swiss qualifying. Both have come through the knockout rounds in relative comfort, each with just one of their four victories by only a single-digit margin, although Nickell's 3-IMP semi-final win is perhaps still fresh in their memory. Even so, Nickell came into this final as favourites, but it was Ventin who jumped out to a 16 -IMP lead in a high-scoring first set, 64-48. With more than 100 IMPs changing hands in the first stanza, the Great Dealer must be exhausted, so perhaps we shall have to make do with slim pickings in Set 2.
The second set started quietly, but it was not long before the first deal with swing potential came along.

Board 20. Dealer West.All Vul.


Antonio Palma

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Katz | Mendes de Leon | Nickell | Sprinkhuizen |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2 - |
| Pass | 28 | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 68 | All Pass |  |

Thibo Sprinkhuizen showed clubs and hearts via a transfer auction and then made an invitational jump to $5 \vee$. Not unreasonably, with kings in both of his partner's suits, and a control in both side suits, Guy Mendes de Leon accepted.
Although not a great slam looking at just the North/South hands, the Dutch youngsters had at least played it from the right side. Indeed, Nickell did not have an easy lead, and the diamond he selected does look like the most obvious choice on the bidding. It was, though, exactly what declarer would have asked for. Mendes de Leon captured West's $\forall K$ with his ace, drew trumps, and then played the A and a club to dummy's king. The appearance ofWest's Q enabled declarer to claim all I3 tricks: N/S +|460.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Hampson | Palma | Greco |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | INT* |
| Pass | 2\%* | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 24* | Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | 6 |

## All Pass

Geoff Hampson started with a Strong Club. Eric Greco's game-forcing INT response showed 12+ HCP and 5+ hearts. 24 and 24 were relays, Greco showing at least six hearts ( $2 \checkmark$ ) and then $4+$ clubs (with $3 \diamond$ ). At this point, with 10 of his HCP in his partner's short suits, Hampson jumped to game to show an unsuitable hand. Greco was not done, though, and when he had one more go with 5 『, Hampson accepted the invitation.
On commentary on BBO VuGraph, I was about to observe that the play was much more difficult on what looked like 'the obvious trump lead' from the West hand but, before I could even finish typing, Frederic Wrang had produced the $\diamond 2$. Greco tried a less-than hopeful $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ from dummy but, when East failed to produce the king, away went declarer's spades. Greco eventually lost a trick to the e Q , but the Americans had dodged a large bullet: N/S +1430 and just I IMP to Ventin.
There was little else of note to report in a dull first half that finished with Nickell leading 6-I.The vagaries of notrump range generated some interest on the first deal of the second half. Although at many tables the auction
would, presumably, have gone INT-All Pass, neither N/S pair in this match were playing a 15-I7 INT.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/WVul.
\& KJIO 6
$\bigcirc$ Q 2
$\diamond$ AK 109
-KJ4

- Q 42
$\checkmark$ AK 8
$\diamond 64$
-87632


483
810965
$\diamond$ J 82
$\because \mathrm{A}$ Q 10

- A 97

PJ743
$\diamond$ Q 753
-95
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Katz | Mendes de Leon | Nickell | Sprinkhuizen |
| - | $1 \$$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Mendes de Leon started with and then reversed into diamonds after a $1 \vee$ response. Perhaps Sprinkhuizen could have bid a non-forcing 2NT but he opted for a fourth-suit 2 and then raised 2NT to game.
Nickell led the 8 , and declarer cannot have been too disappointed, with what looks like four tricks in each of the pointed suits and thus just one more to find. Declarer cashed all but one diamond winner before playing a club
to the jack. Nickell won with the queen, played a heart to Katz's king, and got a second round of clubs through declarer's king. The defence quickly collected their three clubs and two hearts: N/S -50.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | Hampson <br> Halma | Greco |  |
| - | $1 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Pass | $1 \wedge^{*}$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 *$ | All Pass |  |

The Americans started with a Strong Club opening and a I7-I8 INT rebid. Greco advanced with a Checkback-type 2e and Hampson showed his spades. I suspect that Greco might have been interested had he found a heart fit but facing spades he gave up. Well judged!
Theoretically, only a diamond opening holds declarer to eight tricks. However,Antonio Palma kicked off with the 86 , Wrang winning and switching to diamonds. When declarer won in hand and ran the to West's queen, though, the defenders were in a position to beat the contract if Wrang could find the club switch. Instead, he played a second diamond, so Hampson won in hand, drew trumps, and advanced the $\vee Q$. The defence could take two clubs and the $\gtrdot$ A, but the $\S J$ would now provide declarer with an eighth trick. N/S +110 and 4 IMPs to NICKELL, which was to be almost the largest swing of the set.
There was a little excitement on Board 29, when Nickell perhaps thought he might have unwittingly wandered into an auction where he did not belong. The best partners, though, always produce a dummy to justify your bidding.


Guy Mendes de Leon, Nick Nickell,Thibo Sprinkhuizen and Ralph Katz

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.
¢ 9752
$\bigcirc$ AQ 107
$\diamond$ AK J
0 J 9


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Katz | Mendes de Leon | Nickell | Sprinkhuizen |
| - | INT | $2 \Delta^{*}$ | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble |

All Pass
North opened a I4-I6 INT and Nickell's $2 \triangleleft$ intervention showed one major. South doubled to show values and Nickell was forced to reveal his suit. South's second double was takeout of hearts, but North can hardly be blamed for choosing to convert.
Although dummy contained little, what values it had were enormous facing declarer's hand. The defence began with a diamond to the king and then two rounds of spades, declarer winning in dummy with the to play a heart to his king. North won the second round of trumps and forced declarer with a diamond, but Nickell ruffed, cashed his $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$, and played a club towards dummy's queen to make sure of his sixth trick. N/S +500.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | Hampson | Palma | Greco |
| - | INT | $2 』$ | $3 \triangleleft$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

The auction began in similar fashion, but Greco was not interested in defending when he expected to be able to make a vulnerable game. His $3 \vee$ cue-bid showed game values whilst denying either a heart stopper or four spades. Hampson bid the obvious 3NT and Palma opened the Q Q , ducked. When Palma then continued with the $\mathbf{\Phi} \mathrm{K}$, Hampson could count enough tricks. He unblocked the diamonds and then exited with a spade, establishing the $\$ 9$ as his ninth trick. N/S +600 and 3 IMPs to Nickell.
Going into the final deal of the set, Nickell led I3-3.Ventin came back with a vengeance on a deal played in INT at both tables, scoring seven tricks on defence in one room (+100) and eight tricks on offence $(+120)$ at the other for a 6-IMP gain. With the set finishing $13-9$, the match score was now $73-6$ I,Ventin up by 12 with 64 boards left to play.

## Video Corner



You can access our full playlist here:


Today, we have I new video:


Step by Step with David Gold

## WCB 202I

The World Championship Book from Salsomaggiore 202I is now available from the Jannersten bookstall here in Wroclaw. The official retail price is $£ 25, \mathrm{US} \$ 30$ and $€ 30$. What differentiates this edition from past volumes is that it is available both in hardcopy and as an e-book.

The book is distributed by Master Point Press and will be available from bridge suppliers around the world. The e-book is available on the MPP website, for US\$25.


# Rosenblum Final <br> Ventin v Nickell - Set 3 

by Jos Jacobs

After a very high-scoring first segment (64-48) and a very low-scoring second segment (9-13) the two contestants started the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and last segment of the first day with the score at 73-6I to Ventin. So the question was first of all: "How would the Great Dealer behave this time?"
In the opening boards, he did not behave very well, we thought, as only 4 IMPs were added to the total, all going to Nickell. Board 4 then woke us up.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- J 92
$\bigcirc 974$
$\diamond$ J643
- Q 92
- Q 103
$\bigcirc$ AQ 86
$\diamond 109$
\& 1087

$\vee 1532$
$\diamond$ AK Q 87
4
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R. Levin | Mendes de Leon | Weinstein | Sprinkhuizen |
| 1\% | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

What an auction! N/S were way overboard but rather than take their +500 , E/W went on and on and on. Had $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ doubled them, they might even have paid the price for it in the end but when North decided to lead a heart rather than the partnership's bid suit, Levin suddenly was in with a chance. Not that it was plain sailing yet because there also was a communication problem. Dummy's 810 was covered by the jack and won by the ace and declarer crossed to the 9 K . Next, he went back to the and discarded a diamond on the $\ulcorner\mathbf{Q}$. When the nine appeared, he continued with the 98 , ruffed by North and overruffed in dummy. With the trump problem now solved, declarer's only remaining problem was the spade suit. When he played a spade to the ace and a spade back, he guessed right by putting up the queen and had thus brought home an unlikely contract. Well done, Nickell +600 .
In the other room, the Iberians produced a more decent auction and ended up in the par contract with no opposing actions.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Palma | Hampson | Ventin | Greco |
| $1 \&$ | Pass | $1 \%$ | Dble |
| $1 \$$ | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \&$ | All Pass |

When North at this table also led a heart from his 974 , declarer soon came to II tricks at this table, too. Ventin +I50 but IO IMPs to Nickell.
On the next board, N/S muddied the waters for declarer in the Open Room.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- 62
- K 95
$\diamond$ Q 1042
* K 853

-K 8
คA Q 10764
$\diamond 8$
\& Q 1094
Closed Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Palma | Hampson |
| - | Pass |
| 14 | $2 \varnothing$ |
| $3 \triangle$ | Dble |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ventin | Greco |
| Pass | $1 / 8$ |
| $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ |
| 30 | All Pass |

Game in spades is on a trump finesse at best, and needing some help elsewhere, so you cannot be blamed when you don't bid it. After a trump lead, it quickly turned out that the trump finesse was right for declarer.Ventin +170 .
In the Open Room, North's double may well have suggested that this finesse would be wrong.
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R. Levin | Mendes de Leon | Weinstein | Sprinkhuizen |
| - | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 28 | 24 | 320 |
| 3 | 48 | 4 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

North led a heart to partner's ace and when South continued the $\triangleleft$ Q, he overtook this with his king to switch to a low diamond into declarer's tenace. Rather than winning the ace in dummy to take the trump finesse, Levin ran this to his jack. Suddenly, he could no longer make the
contract because when he would give up a club to ruff the last club in dummy, the defence would take their diamond ruff. So he decided to first cash the $\boldsymbol{A}$, which gave the defenders the K as the setting trick. Ventin another +100 and 7 IMP back to them.
Two boards later, the scorers were put to work again, rather unexpectedly.

| Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 32 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 52 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K Q 4 |  |  |
| AJ876 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AQ } 87 \\ & \diamond \text { J } 1084 \end{aligned}$ | N | - 96 |
|  | $W^{N}$ E | ¢AK 73 |
| $\diamond 73$ | $W^{\text {S }}$ | $\diamond 9852$ |
| - KQ 5 |  | \& 1032 |
|  | - KJIO5 4 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 96$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ 106 |  |
|  | -94 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R. Levin | Mendes de Leon | Weinstein | Sprinkhuizen |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 1\% | Pass | 18 | INT |
| 28 | All Pass |  |  |



Juan Carlos Ventin

South's INT showed five spades and four diamonds.
On the lead of the to the king in dummy, declarer had no problem in coming to nine tricks.
Nickell + | 40. It should be a simple push board.

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Palma | East <br> Hampson | Ventin <br> Sreco |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 12 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 1. |

But it was not, because strange things were happening at the other table. North led the $\$ 3$ to the ten and queen and declarer's next move was to play a diamond. South won and continued the and North allowed declarer's Q to win the trick. Declarer crossed to dummy's 8 A to play the 9 , covered by the jack and ace. Next came the 8 on which declarer threw a club from dummy. South won his king and crossed to partner's \&A. When dummy ruffed North's club return with the $९ 7$, South could overruff with the nine. Later, declarer misguessed the actual trump position and thus managed to go one down...Nickell another +100 and 6 IMPs more to them.
After a few more quiet boards, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ had a misunderstanding on board I 2 .

Board I2. Dealer West. N/SVul.

- J 43
$\bigcirc 92$
$\diamond 10865$
\& KJ4 3


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R. Levin | Mendes de Leon | Weinstein | Sprinkhuizen |
| INT | Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 0}$ |
| Dble | 2 | $3 \&$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Was $3 \diamond$ a game try or a natural bid after 2 had shown majors? Down three, Nickell +300 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Palma | Hampson | Ventin | Greco |
| INT | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $2\rangle$ |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At the other table, N/S also tried for game, only to find out that the three-level already was too much. One down, Ventin +100 but still 5 IMPs to Nickell.
The penultimate board of the set, and the day, for that matter, was a play problem.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/SVul.

|  | - 87 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 2$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 10863 |  |
|  | \& K Q 97 |  |
| ¢ AKJ5 3 |  | - Q 92 |
| $\bigcirc 853$ | $W^{N} E$ | - Q 109764 |
| $\diamond-$ | $W_{S}$ E | $\diamond$ A 9 |
| 218532 | S | - 46 |
|  | -1064 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 7542 |  |
|  | -104 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R. Levin | Mendes de Leon | Weinstein | Sprinkhuizen |
| - | - | - | $2 \diamond$ |
| 2 | $4 \diamond$ | 4 | All Pass |

Four Spades looks the normal contract but how should you play when North leads a low diamond?
Levin adopted a classic principle: turn your attention to the side suit first if there is no clear reason to draw trumps immediately. So he played a low heart at trick two and was rewarded when North took the trick with his king and South followed suit with the jack. North continued the but now, Levin could win dumm's ace, draw two rounds of trumps with the jack and ace and play another heart, hoping for the best. When the suit broke $2-2$, he was home. Nickell +420 .

Closed Room

| West | North <br> Hampson | East <br> Ventin | South <br> Greco |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 1. | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| $2 \mathbf{2 a}$ | Pass | 4 | All Pass |

In the Closed Room, they were also in 4@, of course, but here North immediately attacked the clubs and dummy's entries by leading the K . Dummy won the ace but rather than adopting the principle followed by Levin, Palma returned the suit. North thus won the 9 and played the \&8. This ran to declarer's jack and now, declarer tried to ruff a club with dummy's nine. When South overruffed with the 10 and returned his last trump, the contract had actually gone two down. Nickell +100 and II more IMPs to them. They had won the set $39-11$ and brought the score to 100-84 in their favour with one full day (48 boards) to play. It was by no means over!

## An absent medallist: Joaquín Pacareu

If you read through the roster of team Ventin, silver medallist in the Rosenblum yesterday, you will find six named, but if you go through the line-ups of the final, only five players are to be found.
All was well during the weekend. Juan Carlos Ventín played six of the ten matches with his regular partner, Joaquín Pacareu from Chile. They also played the first set of the round of 32 together. And then Joaquín was made aware of a family emergency, and he decided to return to South America. Since then, team Ventín have played five-handed, with Guy Mendes de Leon and Thibo Sprinkhuizen playing throughout, and Antonio Palma alternating between Fredric Wrang and his captain.


Joaquín informed us from Chile that, all things considered, everything is well and that he was very proud of his achievements (an average of more than +I IMP in the Butler of the Swiss, and one set of the Knock-outs, won by 31 points). He is even more proud of the achievements of his teammates.
It has been confirmed by the WBF President that all six players in the team will receive medals and titles.
That means that Joaquín becomes the first world championship medal winner from his country, Chile. Portugal as well has now found their first medallist, while Spain has won just one world medal previously, by Juan Carlos Ventín himself, bronze in the Rosenblum in 2014.

# Ventin v Nickell - Set 4 

by David Bird

The overnight score was NICKELL I00, VENTIN 84, with most of the IMPs coming in yesterday's first set.We'd better take our seats. They are about to start.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/WVul.
\& Q 975
$\checkmark 73$
$\diamond$ JIO 983
Q Q 5
\& 103
$\vee J 1092$
$\diamond Q 764$
$\& A J 2$


AK J 8
AK 4
$\checkmark$ A 5
9863
Open Room

| West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hampson | Ventin | Greco |
| - | - | - |
| Pass | 1 P $^{*}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |

South
Palma
102
$3 \wedge^{*}$
$4 \diamond^{*}$

South's 31 was alerted for some reason, and presumably $4 \diamond$ was a slam-try. As for North's 3NT, it must have been some response to the mysterious 31. Let's move on to the play.
Antonio Palma won the jack of hearts lead with the ace, and led a club to the queen and king. When Eric Greco returned a trump, declarer rose with the king. What would happen after a second club now? West would win and return a trump. Two ruffs would give declarer only nine tricks but, as the cards lie, he could run the $\diamond$ J and survive when East began with a doubleton honour.
Palma instead played ace and another diamond to East's king. A second trump was returned, won with the ace. West won the next trick with the club jack and returned a safe $\vee I O$. Declarer was out of steam and went one down.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sprinkhuizen | Weinstein | Mendes de Leon Levin |  |
| - | - | - | 19 |
| Pass | IS | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North was declarer at this table and the 86 was led, won with dummy's ace. When a club was played, West rose with the ace and returned a heart to South's king. A club to the queen and king was followed by yet another heart,
ruffed in the dummy. The jack of diamonds was covered by the king and ace. A second diamond then set up that suit.
West led a third diamond, ruffed by East and overruffed with the $\mathbf{4}$. Declarer then ruffed the 9 high and claimed the last three tricks with his $\Phi A K J$. The contract had never been in trouble at this table, and it was 10 IMPs to Nickell.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

| -10765 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A 8 |  |
| $\diamond 954$ |  |
| \& QJ 105 |  |
|  | - 83 |
| $W^{N} E$ | $\bigcirc 17$ |
| $W^{\text {S }}$ | $\checkmark$ A 8763 |
|  | AK 73 |
| - KQ 4 |  |
| -KQ652 |  |
| $\diamond 3$ |  |

-9862
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hampson | Ventin | Greco | Palma |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| 2 | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |

3NT was the place to be. Only eight quick tricks, but there was every chance of North leading ace and another heart. In that case, declarer might establish a ninth trick in hearts (he would have to guess well on a trick-three spade switch from South). Having denied a heart stopper with his 2s bid, could West not have tried 3NT on the third or fourth round? This would show something like his actual holding, needing a singleton or doubleton honour opposite to create a stopper.
A club was led against the diamond game, South splitting his spade honours at trick two. Declarer lost a spade and two hearts for one down.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sprinkhuizen | Weinstein | Mendes de Leon | Levin |
| I | Pass | 2 | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | 5 | All Pass |

The auction for the Ventin team could not be described as exploratory. The ace and queen of hearts were taken, followed by a CK switch. It was a push board, but EastWest at the other table must have thought they had missed a chance.

Board 26. Dealer East.All Vul.

```
4 85 32
\A752
\diamondQ953
%9
```

- KJ 109
© J 1094
$\diamond$ J 108
- 75

|  | - 8532 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 752 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 953 |  |
|  | \% 9 |  |
| ¢ KJ109 |  | - Q 7 |
| ¢J1094 | $W^{N} E$ | QQ863 |
| $\diamond 1108$ | $W_{\text {S }}$ E | $\diamond-$ |
| ¢ 75 |  | * AK 106432 |
|  | - A 64 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK 7642 |  |
|  | \& Q J 8 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hampson | Ventin | Greco | Palma |
| - | - | $2 \diamond *$ | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Dble | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

East's was natural and around II-I5 HCP. With nine tricks on top and a secure club stopper, 3NT was once again the place to be, this time for North/South. They reached it easily but Hampson then applied the frighteners, with a spoof penalty double.
As I see it, North has no reason whatsoever to remove to 4$\rangle$. He has good diamonds and a precious ace. That is good value for his single raise and will make a splendid dummy. Game is also easy in diamonds, with nine top tricks and two club ruffs.
The bidding stopped in $4 \diamond$. After a club lead and a spade return, declarer ruffed two clubs and discarded a spade on the 8 A. It was a splendid success for Hampson's double, as it turned out, but a great disappointment for North/South.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sprinkhuizen | Weinstein | Mendes de Leon Levin |  |
| - | - | $1 \&$ | I $\diamond$ |
| Dble* | 3 | 48 | All Pass |

West's double showed 4+ hearts. Only three top losers were on view in the heart game, but the contract had little chance of succeeding, particularly with a 4-I trump break. Guy Mendes de Leon ruffed the king of diamonds lead and played the 7 to the jack. Levin won the next spade and cashed the bare king of trumps. The $\diamond 2$ switch went to North's queen, ruffed by declarer. All roads led to two down, and that was 2 IMPs to Nickell.
There were six double-digit swings in my piece yesterday, on the first session of this match. You will have noted already that lightning did not strike twice in my favour here. Ah well, let's end with board 31.

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

| ¢ J |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 1076 |  |
| $\diamond$ KJIO983 |  |
| \& Q 10 |  |
|  | ¢ Q 98752 |
| $W^{N}$ | 『K4 |
| $W_{s}$ | $\diamond 742$ |
|  | - 87 |
| - A 103 |  |
| PAJ832 |  |
| $\checkmark 5$ |  |
| 2K932 |  |

Open Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hampson | Ventin |
| - | - |
| Dble | $4 \triangleright$ |
| Pass | 5 |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Greco | Palma |
| - | $1 \varnothing$ |
| 49 | Pass |
| Pass | $5 »$ |

All Pass
After the pre-emptive $4 \checkmark$ raise, there was no question of South's second action being a forcing pass. Despite the vulnerability being against him, Juan Carlos Ventin took a splendid view when he advanced to 5$\rangle$. Could eleven tricks be made in the resultant 58 , do you think?
Hampson led the 4 to the jack, queen and ace. Palma advanced his singleton diamond and Hampson rose with the ace. Declarer was pleased to see the hit the table next, followed by a second club to dummy's queen. The queen of trumps was covered by the king and ace, declarer then ruffing a spade in dummy. After discarding the on the king of diamonds, Palma ruffed a diamond with the 98 and drew the last two trumps. A trump remained in dummy to ruff the 10 and that was +650 .
Closed Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sprinkhuizen | Weinstein |
| - | - |
| Dble | $3 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mendes de Leon | Levin |
| - | I 8 |
| 49 | Dble |

All Pass
Levin did well to double the spade game. The $\diamond 5$ was led to dummy's queen, North's king and declarer's 4.Weinstein returned the $\vee 7$ to king and ace, South playing a second round to North's queen. When the jack of diamonds was returned, Levin could have achieved four down by ruffing. He preferred to discard a heart and dummy's ace won.
After a trump to the jack, queen and ace, declarer escaped for three down, losing 500. That was 4 IMPs to Ventin and the set ended with 16 IMPs apiece and no change to Nickell's I6-IMP lead. How will this Herculean two-day struggle end?

## Follow this session with Me

With three titles to be decided on Saturday we could leave coverage of the Rosenblum to our offshore reporters, David, Marc \& Jos. That left the rest of us to follow the fortunes of those hoping to win one of the Pairs titles on offer.
As usual there will be a lot of guess work when it comes to the interpretation of some of the bids.
The luck of the draw saw the leaders and their closest rivals meet in the opening round:

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  |  | 53 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 095 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\pm 10$ |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K 8 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ A J |  |  |  |
| ¢ K |  |  | 65432 |
|  |  | 64 |  |
|  |  | 43 |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lorber | Lutostanski | Gartaganis | Buras |
| - | 19** | Pass | 18 |
| Dble | Rdbl* | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

1* Polish Club Rdbl Strong


Krzysztof Buras and Piotr Lutostański

West led the $\diamond 3$ and when dummy's nine held declarer continued with the five to the queen, West winning as East pitched the $\%$. Declarer had an easy route to ten tricks, and he ducked a heart, East winning and switching to the $\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{Q}}$. Declarer won with the king and took his tricks, +430 and $48 / 8$ as few pairs bid this game.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
¢ 1064
$\bigcirc 97$
$\diamond$ AJ 8754
\% 102


| N | ¢ 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 84 |
| $S^{\text {E }}$ | $\diamond 3$ |
|  | 2 AKQ 98764 |
| ¢ Q 953 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ652 |  |
| $\diamond$ K 62 |  |
| ¢ 5 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorber | Lutostanski | Gartaganis | Buras |
| - | - | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

South led the $\vee A$ and when North followed with the seven he switched to the $\diamond 2$. That gave the defenders seven tricks, - I 50 and 46/IO.
A great start for the leaders!
Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- A 85

คA 10542
$\diamond A K 84$

- 10

- 7642
$\bigcirc 963$
$\diamond 732$
\& QJ 2

| West | North <br> Hanlon | East <br> Stamatov | Volcker |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

All Pass
East led the N A and switched to the $\mathbf{2}$, declarer ducking West's jack. West returned the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ and declarer took the ace and ducked a heart, East winning with the jack. The
\& 10 was taken by declarer, but he was running out of ammunition and had to go three down, -I50, which was worth $25 / 3$ I.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.


North led the 3 for the jack and ace and South switched to the $\odot 4$, North taking the ace and playing two rounds of diamonds. That gave the defenders three tricks in the suit and a fourth diamond promoted North's $\mathbf{Q}$. That left declarer with seven tricks, - 100 and $31 / 25$.


Bogdan Szulejewski

Board 5. Dealer North. N/SVul.
வ 642
© K 109
$\diamond$ QJIO 3

- K 92
- KQJ8 3
$\bigcirc 3$
$\diamond A K 8765$
\& 5

| N | - A 95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $W^{N} E$ | ¢A876 |
| $W^{\text {S }}$ | $\checkmark 4$ |
|  | AQJ 86 |
| - 107 |  |
| Q QJ542 |  |
| $\checkmark 92$ |  |

- 10743

| West | North <br> Mesbur | Ezulejewski <br> Earvey | South <br> Gartkowski |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2NT* | Pass | $3 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | $5 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 6 | All Pass |  |  |

It is not rocket science to deduce that $2 \triangleleft$ promised a three-suited hand. 2NT was some kind of enquiry and whatever 31 meant it was enough for West to ask for key-cards before settling for $6 \mathbf{\$}$.
South led the 3 and declarer took North's king with the ace, played a diamond to the ace, ruffed a diamond, cashed the A , ruffed a club to set up the suit, drew trumps and was soon claiming the rest, +1010 for a dead average 28/28.
Many pairs bid a grand slam - making 7s was worth I I/45.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- K 986
$\bigcirc$ KQJ 7
$\diamond$ A Q 72
\& 10
- A Q 104

คA 1042
$\triangleleft$ K 96
-K 3

- 753
$\bigcirc 83$
$\diamond 53$
* A Q J 982
- 12
$\bigcirc 965$
$\diamond$ J 1084
- 7654

| West | North <br> Mesbur | East <br> Szulejewski | Sarvey <br> Gouth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | Bartkowski |  |
| INT | 2** | 2NT* | Pass |
| 3\& | All Pass |  |  |
| 2N Majors |  |  |  |

Declarer ducked the lead of the $\curvearrowright \mathrm{K}$, took the next round and drew trumps. A spade to the ten set up a ninth trick, +110 and 45/II.The pairs who bid 3NT collected I7/39.


East led the 85 and declarer took his time before playing dummy's eight. He unblocked the $\vee A$ and played a diamond to the ace.After cashing the king and queen, pitching a club and spade from dummy, he cashed the $\triangle K$, West discarding the 8 and exited with the $\diamond 10$, West winning as East pitched the ${ }^{2}$. Another spade had gone from dummy, so West switched to the MA and continued the suit and when East won and played back the 89 declarer emerged with an overtrick, +150 and $37 /$ I9.
IfWest wanted to play spades, anything is better than the ace. However, the simplest defence is to switch to the ex and then play a second club, squeezing declarer, who has to throw the master diamond. Then it is safe for East to exit with a heart and the defenders get the rest for one down. That would have netted II/45.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- A 6
$\bigcirc 62$
$\diamond$ AK 3
\& A 9864

\& Q 954
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond$ Q 1062
\& K Q 107
K 1032
จ K 1054
$\diamond 987$
52

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vainikonis | Black | Arlovich | Bertheau |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \varnothing$ | $3 \mathbf{2}$ | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \triangle$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North led the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$ and the contract was swiftly three down for -500 and $56 / 0$. As far as I can see $30 x$ would have been one down, and -I00 would have II/45.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- AK7
$\triangleright$ A Q 3
$\diamond K 6$
\& K 10764


8
-K 82
$\diamond$ QJIO 742

- 198

| West | North <br> Multon <br> Kotorowicz |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | I2 |
| Pass | INT |
| All Pass |  |

East
Zimmermann
Pass
Pass 3NT

All Pass
East led the 6 and declarer won with the ace and played on diamonds, West taking the second round and returned the Q at which point declarer claimed, +430 and $3 \mathrm{I} / 25$.


Jakub Kotorowicz


Pawel Miechowicz
Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

- Q 108
- J 87
$\checkmark$ A 42
\& 872
- A 43
© AKQ 96
$\diamond$ K 106
43

- 975

ค 103
$\diamond 9875$
K K 106

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multon | Kotorowicz | Zimmermann | Miechowicz <br> - |
| INT | - | Pass | Pass |

North led the 2 and the defenders took the first five tricks, declarer taking the rest, $+120,4 \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I} 5$.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
4 AJ65 32

- J 10
$\diamond 96$
\& A 86
- K 4
- K 64
$\diamond$ Q 87
20 109743


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trenka | Xu | Kemeny | Huang |
| - | - | - | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 20* | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | All Pas |  |

East led the and declarer won with the ace and played a spade for the queen and king. West returned the 9 and when East ruffed with the 10 declarer played dummy's king. Two rounds of hearts saw West win and give his partner another club ruff, and the $\triangleleft \mathrm{A}$ meant two down, - 100 and 5/5 I.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- AK 32
©J96
$\diamond$ K 5
\& A Q 32
- J 10954

K 87
86
\& KJ6


- 7
$\bigcirc$ A Q 105
$\diamond$ Q 1097
- 10987
- Q 86

Q432
$\diamond$ AJ432
© 54

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trenka | Xu | Kemeny | Huang |
| Pass | INT | Pass | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | $3 *^{*}$ | All Pass |

When you see bids likeWest's 2 you begin to understand why Pairs is not everyone's cup of tea.
South led the 4 and North played three rounds of the suit, declarer winning with dummy's king and playing the d. North took the ace and exited with his last club which cost his side a trick, three down, -150 and $44 / \mathrm{I} 2$.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

| - A 962 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 9 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 65 |  |  |  |
| c) 94 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K Q } 1083 \\ & \vee J 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | N | - 4 |
|  |  | W E | $\bigcirc 10632$ |
| $\text { Q J } 103$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ K 874 |
|  |  | K5 10862 |  |
| - J 75 |  |  |  |
| -Q 754 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 92$ |  |  |  |
| \& A Q 73 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Nunn | Szczepanski | Dalley | Majcher |
| - | INT | Pass | 20* |
| Pass | 2. | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East led the 9 andWest won with the king and switched to the $\diamond$ Q. Declarer ducked twice so West went back to clubs and declarer cashed his winners for +120 and 29/27.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.


West led the $\forall 9$ and East won with the ace and switched to the el0. (A second diamond will lead to two down.) Declarer won with dummy's jack and played a heart to the ten and king. When West played back a club declarer won in dummy and tried to cash another club,West's ruff meaning he was two down, - 100 and $3 / 53$.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- J 863

QJ752
$\diamond$ J 97
\& 5
$\& 1072$
$\diamond$ Q 64
$\diamond$ A 1063
863


- 954

ค A 1093
$\diamond 842$

- 197

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Akgul | Gold | Aslan | Zia |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 2\%* | Pass |
| $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | 2®* | Pass |
| 24* | Pass | 2NT* | Pass |
| 3NT* | Pass | 49* | Pass |
| 5 $\bigcirc^{*}$ | Pass | 69 | Pass |
| 6NT | All Pass |  |  |
| $2 \checkmark$ Koki |  |  |  |

I would love to tell you what was happening after 2NT, but there is simply no time to find out.
South led the 5 and with no miracle in the club suit the best declarer could hope for was one down. When he tried for more, playing a low heart to dummy's queen followed by a club, North put up the queen and declarer eventually finished three down, - 150 and 55/I.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/WVul.
© K Q
©K9852
$\diamond$ J 1075
\&


$$
A 963
$$

$\diamond 107$
$\diamond K Q 3$
$\& A 743$

- 1052
$\bigcirc$ A Q
$\diamond 98642$
-962

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Akgul | Gold | Aslan | Zia |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{2}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $1 \Delta^{*}$ | Pass | 12 | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \Delta^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{3}$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

South led the 8 A and followed it with the queen. He switched to the $\diamond 6$ and declarer won in dummy and claimed when the clubs behaved, +600 and $3 / 53$ as virtually everyone else stopped short of game.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

- KQ4 2

ค83
$\diamond$ Q 1065
\& K 62


| N |  | $\triangle{ }^{4} 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | E | $\bigcirc 952$ |
| S |  | $\checkmark 942$ |
|  |  | * AJ 1093 |

Q Q J 6
$\diamond$ KJ 83
Q 754

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M. Rimstedt | Kowalski | O. Rimstedt | Sielicki |
| - | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \mathbf{1 4}$ | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | All Pass |

North led the $\triangleleft 5$ and when the king held South continued with the three, declarer taking the ace and playing a spade to the nine and jack. He ruffed the diamond return, played a spade to the ace, a heart to the ace and a spade. North
won, drew the last trump, cashed the $\triangleleft \mathrm{Q}$ and exited with the 2. Declarer won with dummy's ace and played a heart to the king, but South had the last two tricks, - $100,55 / \mathrm{I}$.
Suppose declarer goes down a different route, after taking the diamond ruff? He cashes the top hearts, plays a club to dummy's ace, ruffs a club, crosses to dummy with the A and ruffs another club to get up to eight tricks. That scores 25/3I.
That appears to be simple enough, but when I went to check the matchpoints it says E/W took eight tricks for +1 IO. It also says the lead was the $\diamond 3$, so I suppose anything is possible.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

```
4 A Q 9 2
\veeQ J
AK IO 87
& 64
```

| ¢ 865 |
| :---: |
| $\bigcirc 75$ |
| $\checkmark 963$ |
| \& K Q 873 |

- K 43

คAK 109864
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$

- J 5
- J 107

ค 32
$\diamond$ J5 42
A 1092

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M. Rimstedt | Kowalski | 0. Rimstedt | Sielicki |
| - | - | 18 | Pass |
| INT | Dble | 3 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 39 |

All Pass
West led the 97 , but with trumps 3-3 the defenders could only score four tricks, +140 and a massive 55/I.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/WVul.


West led the K and declarer claimed II tricks, +450 and $28 / 28$. Six pairs attempted 61 for $5 / 5$ I.

Board 20. Dealer West.All Vul.

- 92

ค 109874
$\diamond \mathrm{A}^{2} 6$
\% 1084


South led the A and switched to the $\diamond 3$. North took the ace and continued with the queen, a grateful declarer claiming II tricks, +650 and $6 / 50$. Taking three tricks was worth $35 / 2$ I.


Dariusz Kowalski

## Open Pairs Finals

| Final A after 2 Days |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Players |  | Nationality | \% |
| 1 | BURAS Krzysztof | LUTOSTANSKI Piotr | POL - POL | 60.24 |
| 2 | EDGTTON Nabil | WHIBLEY Michael | AUS - NZL | 55.86 |
| 3 | HELGEMO Geir | NYSTROM Fredrik | NOR - SWE | 55.31 |
| 4 | MULTON Franck | ZIMMERMANN Pierre | MON - SUI | 55.07 |
| 5 | BIRMAN Alon | PADON Dror | ISR - ISR | 54.28 |
| 6 | STAMATOV Jerry | ZHAO Jie | BUL - CHN | 53.80 |
| 7 | BERTHEAU Peter | BLACK Andrew | SWE-ENG | 53.59 |
| 8 | ANKLESARIA Keyzad | TEWARI Rajeshwar | IND - IND | 53.33 |
| 9 | BESSIS Thomas | LORENZINI Cedric | FRA - FRA | 53.01 |
| 10 | RIMSTEDT Mikael | RIMSTEDT Ola | SWE-SWE | 52.99 |
| 11 | GOLD David | MAHMOOD Zia | ENG - USA | 52.99 |
| 12 | HANLON Tom | VOLCKER Frederic | IRL - FRA | 52.78 |
| 13 | BARTKOWSKI Mariusz | SZULEJEWSKI Bogdan | POL - POL | 52.69 |
| 14 | JANSMA Jan | WILLENKEN Chris | NED - USA | 52.62 |
| 15 | PIETRASZEK Marek | ZNAMIROWSKI Jacek | POL - POL | 52.40 |
| 16 | MESBUR Adam | GARVEY Tommy | IRL - IRL | 52.39 |
| 17 | GILL Peter | HANS Sartaj | AUS - AUS | 51.94 |
| 18 | MULLER Bauke | DEWIJS Simon | NED - NED | 51.84 |
| 19 | KOTOROWICZ Jakub | MIECHOWICZ Pawel | POL - POL | 51.61 |
| 20 | BERKOWITZ David | MECKSTROTH Jeff | USA - USA | 51.22 |
| 21 | GRUE Joe | MOSS Brad | USA - USA | 51.08 |
| 22 | GARTAGANIS Nicholas | LORBER Michel | CAN - CAN | 51.03 |
| 23 | TOLEDANO Oren | ZAMIR Ami | ISR - ISR | 50.96 |
| 24 | JASSEM Krzysztof | PATREUHA Patryk | POL - POL | 50.68 |
| 25 | NUNN Tony | DALLEY Paul | AUS - AUS | 50.54 |
| 26 | MANNO Andrea | DI FRANCO Massimiliano | ITA - ITA | 50.53 |
| 27 | CAMMARATA Michele | VALSEGA Cristiano | ITA - ITA | 50.36 |
| 28 | MARTEL Chip | FLEISHER Martin | USA - USA | 50.12 |
| 29 | HALLBERG Gunnar | HULT Simon | ENG - SWE | 49.95 |
| 30 | DONATI Giovanni | PERCARIO Giacomo | ITA - ITA | 49.76 |
| 31 | AKGUL Mustafa | ASLAN Bulent | TUR - TUR | 49.56 |
| 32 | MIELNIK Krzysztof | LONSKI Adam | POL - POL | 49.53 |
| 33 | VERBEEK Tim | NAB Bart | NED - NED | 49.45 |
| 34 | AUKEN Sabine | WELLAND Roy | GER - GER | 49.41 |
| 35 | ROBSON Andrew | ALLFREY Alexander | ENG - ENG | 49.30 |
| 36 | BAKKEREN Ton | BAKKEREN Frank | NED - NED | 49.07 |
| 37 | ROBINSON Eric | KORBEL Daniel | USA - USA | 48.95 |
| 38 | GOTARD Thomas | ALTER Florian | GER - GER | 48.81 |
| 39 | SZCZEPANSKI Radoslaw | MAJCHER Arkadiusz | POL - POL | 48.80 |
| 40 | HUANG Shan | XU Zemin | USA - CAN | 48.73 |
| 41 | KWIECIEN Michal | STARKOWSKIWlodzimierz | POL - POL | 48.65 |
| 42 | KOWALSKI Dariusz | SIELICKI Tomasz | POL - POL | 48.54 |
| 43 | LANZAROTTI Massimo | FRANCHI Arrigo | ITA - ITA | 48.53 |
| 44 | HOYLAND Jim | HOYLAND Sven Olai | NOR - NOR | 48.00 |
| 45 | HOFTANISKA Thor Erik | CHARLSEN Thomas | ENG - NOR | 47.92 |
| 46 | SAKOWICZ Ryszard | PLOCKI Pawel | POL - POL | 47.37 |
| 47 | WILDAVSKY Adam | ZUR-CAMPANILE Migry | USA - USA | 47.32 |
| 48 | PATREUHA Jakub | SOBCZAK Mateusz | POL - POL | 46.87 |
| 49 | SILVERSTEIN Aaron | ROSENTHAL Andrew | USA - USA | 46.70 |
| 50 | KHAREAjay | TOLANI Raju | IND - IND | 46.20 |
| 51 | FRIEDLANDER Ehud | YEKUTIELI Asaf | ISR - ISR | 46.15 |
| 52 | TRENKA Peter | KEMENY Gyorgy | HUN - HUN | 45.68 |
| 53 | MOLLER Rachael | GURVICH David | USA - USA | 45.62 |
| 54 | GROSSACK Adam | LEBOWITZ Laurence | USA - USA | 45.26 |
| 55 | ARGELAZI Eliran | ROSENTHAL Lee | ISR - ISR | 44.66 |
| 56 | VAINIKONIS Erikas | ARLOVICH Andrei | LTU - LTU | 44.46 |
| 57 | WALCZAK Piotr | WITTENBECK Pawel | POL - POL | 43.02 |
| 58 | IAVICOLI Federico | CAFFI Cristoforo | ITA - ITA | 42.48 |

## WCB 2021

The World Championship Book from Salsomaggiore 2021 is now available from the Jannersten bookstall here in Wroclaw. The official retail price is $£ 25$, US $\$ 30$ and $€ 30$. What differentiates this edition from past volumes is that it is available both in hardcopy and as an e-book.

The book is distributed by Master Point Press and will be available from bridge suppliers around the world. The e-book is available on the MPP website, for US\$25.


| Side Event |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Players |  | Nationality | \% |
| 1 | KOZLOWSKI Marek | SOSNA Zbigniew | POL - POL | 59.30 |
| 2 | TIHOLOV Todor | VOS John-Henry | ENG - ENG | 58.79 |
| 3 | TURSKA-MARCINOWSKA Malgorzata | MARCINOWSKI Adam | POL - POL | 58.40 |
| 4 | CIBOROWSKI Konrad | KEDZIERSKI Maciej | POL - POL | 58.10 |
| 5 | KOISTINEN Kauko | SALONEN Jari | FIN - FIN | 56.68 |
| 6 | PASSELL Mike | GOODMAN Andy | USA - USA | 56.05 |
| 7 | KEAVENEY Gay | GLYNN Enda | IRL - IRL | 55.91 |
| 8 | KLUBINSKI Piotr | BROSCH Marek | POL - POL | 55.77 |
| 9 | KOCONWlodzimierz | SZKUDLAREK Leszek | POL - POL | 55.14 |
| 10 | PSZCZOLA Jacek | JASZCZAK Andrzej | USA - POL | 54.65 |
| 11 | GOTARD Barbara | BOCHENSKI Adolf | GER - POL | 54.57 |
| 12 | DELLE CAVE Giuseppe | VROUSTIS Vassilis | ITA - GRE | 53.62 |
| 13 | STRANDBERG Gudrun | HANSEN Kjeld | SWE - DEN | 53.52 |
| 14 | CZUBAK Edmund | SUWIK Adam | POL - POL | 52.79 |
| 15 | JANECZEK Marta | BUNIKOWSKI Andrzej | POL - POL | 52.56 |
| 16 | CIESLAK Sylwester | KROL Stanislaw | POL - POL | 52.04 |
| 17 | AIMALA Antti | KOIVU Oskari | FIN - FIN | 51.65 |
| 18 | BALDYSZ Marek | LEWANDOWSKI Krzysztof | POL - POL | 51.50 |
| 19 | AITCHISON Susan | FORSYTH James | SCO-SCO | 51.25 |
| 20 | JADCZAK Bernard | FECHNER Miroslaw | POL - POL | 51.18 |
| 21 | VOLHEJN Vit | MEDLIN Ales | CZE - CZE | 50.73 |
| 22 | NAIDOO Bindiya | SINHA Priya Ranjan | IND - IND | 50.33 |
| 23 | MOORE Nikolas | LANGDON Rachel | AUS - AUS | 49.73 |
| 24 | GOLDBERG Jerry | SEAMAN Eleanor | USA - USA | 49.25 |
| 25 | KOZIKOWSKI Andrzej | GORSKA Anna | POL - POL | 49.23 |
| 26 | NOWAK Zbigniew | POMARANSKI Artur | POL - POL | 48.75 |
| 27 | BROGELAND Boye | AUSTAD Jostein | NOR - NOR | 48.68 |
| 28 | SZCZERBOWSKI Jacek | ZWOLAK Ryszard | POL - POL | 48.57 |
| 29 | MUKHERJEE Sumit | MASKARA Subodh | IND - IND | 48.33 |
| 30 | MORIMURA Shunsuke | ZHAO Jinlong | JPN - JPN | 48.26 |
| 31 | CHELSTOWSKI Krzysztof | NOWICZ Agata | POL - POL | 47.96 |
| 32 | CHAN Richard | SHENG Ming | CAN - USA | 47.53 |
| 33 | TJARNEMO Hakan | CARBONNIER Pierre | SWE - SWE | 46.88 |
| 34 | FREEMAN Jacob | THORPE Stefan | CAN - NED | 46.76 |
| 35 | MICHELIN Marjorie | KISLITSYNA Irina | USA - USA | 46.62 |
| 36 | GROSS Susanna | ROBINSON Claire | ENG - ENG | 46.34 |
| 37 | GREENWOOD Fiona | PALMER Julia | SCO-SCO | 46.22 |
| 38 | JAROSZ Aleksandra | STANISZEWSKA Urszula | POL - POL | 46.18 |
| 39 | KANDOLU Ozlem | ELMAS Tuna | TUR - TUR | 45.85 |
| 40 | AGICA Marius | SAFTA Florin | ROM - ROM | 45.56 |
| 41 | TJARNEMO Jonas | OHLSSON Bjorn | SWE - SWE | 44.98 |
| 42 | LIPOWSKI Krzysztof | GLOWINSKI Dariusz | POL - POL | 43.89 |
| 43 | GAN Grzegorz | PIETRZAK Marek | POL - POL | 43.83 |
| 44 | BOLESTA Slawomir | BLINSKITomasz | POL - POL | 43.57 |
| 45 | O'SHEA William | BAUER Tracey | AUS - USA | 41.64 |
| 46 | CHMIELEWSKA Joanna | TOMCZUK Ela | POL - POL | 39.70 |

## Women Pairs

Final after 4 sessions

## Rank

Players
MEYERS Jill
EGGELING Marie
EYTHORSDOTTIR Hjordis
PYYKKO Ylva
BAKER Lynn
SMYKALA Gisela
SARNIAK Anna
ARNOLDS Carla
BALDYSZ Cathy
DHONDY Heather
SOBOLEWSKA Ewa
YAVAS Dilek
BROCK Sally
TAGLIAFERRIVera
SANBORN Kerri
BELL Sarah
SEAMON-MOLSON Janice
JOHANSSON Lena
McCALLUM Karen
VECHIATTO Claudia
KAZMUCHA Danuta
KOLEN Sandra
BALDYSZ Sophia
NETTETON Diana
BREWIAK Grazyna
OZGUR Hatice
SMEDEREVAC Jovanka

|  |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Nationality | $\%$ |
| USA - USA | 59.86 |
| GER - NNG | 55.42 |
| USA - USA | 55.37 |
| SWE - SWE | 51.30 |
| USA - USA | 50.73 |
| GER - GER | 50.67 |
| POL - POL | 50.14 |
| NED - NED | 49.53 |
| POL - POL | 49.46 |
| ENG - ENG | 47.96 |
| POL - POL | 47.57 |
| TUR - TUR | 46.13 |
| ENG - FRA | 46.04 |
| ITA - ITA | 39.48 |

Rosenblum KO Brackets


