Substitute -- Problem
Board 7
South Deals
Both Vul

| A Q 1062 |  |  | A AK543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 2 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ J |
| $\diamond 9642$ |  |  | $\diamond$ K J 8 |
| * 109 |  |  | \& A Q 62 |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 20 | Dbl | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \boldsymbol{a}$ | All pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ by West |  |  |  |
| Lead: $\diamond 10$ |  |  |  |

Playing in an $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{X}$ matchpoint pairs event at the Nashville regional, plan the play as West.

Which Diamond? -- Problem
Board 20
West Deals
Both Vul
A A 63
$\bigcirc 32$
$\diamond$ AK 1097
\& 1072

| West | North <br> Gary | East | South <br> Pete |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | 1 NT | Pass |
| $2 \AA$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | Pass |
| 3 NT | All pass |  |  |
| 3 NT by East |  |  |  |

Playing in an $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{X}$ matchpoint pairs event at the Nashville regional, what would be your opening lead as South?

Playing Journalist leads, the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ shows the ace or queen, but does not ask for an unblock. Holding the A A, this will likely set the contract if any player has a doubleton $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ or $\diamond \mathrm{J}$.

The $\diamond 10$ shows an interior sequence, that is, either the jack or nine, plus at least one higher card that is out of sequence.

Of course, you could also make a more passive lead of the 03 , \& 2 or $\& 7$.

Substitute -- Solution

| Board 7 | A 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Deals | $\bigcirc$ A 107543 |  |
| Both Vul | $\diamond 10$ |  |
|  | \& K J 843 |  |
| A Q 1062 |  | A AK543 |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 2 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J}$ |
| $\diamond 9642$ |  | $\diamond$ K J 8 |
| \& 109 |  | \& A Q 62 |
|  | A J 98 |  |

○986
$\diamond$ A Q 753
\& 75
EW 3N; EW 3A; NS 20; EW 3®; EW 1\&;
Par -500: NS 40×-2

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gary |  | Nancy/Pete |  |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 20 | Dbl | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | $3 \%$ | 4 A | All pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ by West |  |  |  |
| Made | EW +6 |  |  |

In this first session of the event, they did not know in advance, of course, how many tables there would be. I was half way through the third week of what turned into a doozy of a chest cold, just the cough remaining. We finished playing at table 12 , and I thought Gary went out into the hall. I went out myself, came back, saw the people that we were following playing at the next table, and wandered around for a while. It turns out they were at table 1, and table 13 was off to the side. I found table 13 after a while, and took over playing the dummy for director Nancy, who had filled in during my absence. Gary gave me a brief description of the story, from which I reconstructed the auction.

Gary played low from dummy, on the lead of the $\diamond 10$. So did South, and the contract could no longer be set. I got there just as Gary was discarding dummy's remaining diamonds on the $\bigcirc \mathrm{KQ}$. More mistakes are made at trick one than at any other time.

Nancy, Gary \& I had a good time for the next few days, joking about putting her in, when we needed a good board.

Which Diamond? -- Solution


| E 3N; E 3A; W 2N; W 2A; EW 20; EW 1® |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West North | East | South |
| Gary |  | Pete |
| Pass Pass | 1 NT | Pass |
| $2 \% \quad$ Pass | 2 A | Pass |
| 3 NT All pass |  |  |
| 3 NT by East |  |  |
| Made 4 - EW +630 |  |  |

Much of the time, I prefer passive leads at matchpoints, but this time I went for the kill, leading the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. I switched, but declarer made four by playing on clubs. The $\diamond 10$ lead would have assured that declarer made only three, since he would go down by yielding a club to North.

After a heart lead, declarer would have to win on dummy and lead a club to bring home 3 NT. If North splits his honors, declarer wins and runs the \& 9. This produces three club tricks on the lie of the cards, making four. If North ducks, declarer finesses the \& 9, which holds; but accurate defense now holds declarer to three.

A simulation of 1,000 deals played by GIB on each of the four leads produced these results:

|  | DD | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ | $\diamond 10$ | $\boldsymbol{\&} 2$ | $\diamond 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Av Tricks | 7.90 | 8.02 | 8.28 | 8.90 | 8.96 |
| Set 3 NT | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $31 \%$ |

Double dummy (DD) results always include the correct lead, an unfair advantage. The $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ is amazingly good with GIB play, but the $\diamond 10$ or perhaps the $\odot 3$ would have scored better this time.
[Methodology on next page]

Snapdragon Double


Board 26
East Deals
Both Vul


A 42
○ 983
$\diamond$ QJ 974
\& Q 86
A A 95
$\bigcirc$ K
$\diamond 1065$
\& K J 10972
S 3A; N 2A; EW 20; NS 1N; NS 2\&; Par +140
West North East South

| Gary |  | Pete |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $1 \boldsymbol{1 4}$ | Pass | $1 \%$ |
| Dbl $^{1}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ |  |  |

1. $\diamond$ suit, $\diamond$ tolerance, constructive values. 2 NT by North
Down 3 - NS - 300
This was a finely judged defensive auction. I wanted to raise hearts, but did not want a heart lead, so I abused the Snapdragon double. Normally I would have a better hand, and more preference for my own suit (e.g. 5-2, 6-2 or 6-3).

South's 2 \& gave Gary the chance to make a great $2 \diamond$ bid. This allowed me to lead a low diamond on the opening lead, making it clear sailing for the defense after that.

The standard meaning of the $1 \mathbb{A}$ response over 10 is to show five spades. Therefore, South should have bid $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, instead of $2 \boldsymbol{\&}$. North would try for game, and $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ would be played. South might have recovered by bidding $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ over 2 NT , although the final contract might then be $4 \boldsymbol{A}$.
(2019-07-05 2:00 Nashville)

Which Diamond? -- Simulation Methodology Create 1000 deals with these specs using
Dealmaster Pro, and make West always the dealer:
------South cards already dealt:------
SA 63
H3 2
DAK 1097
C 1072
-------- West Definitions W1->W1 --------
W1 Acc HP 9-11 S 0-3
H 4-4 D 1-5 C 1-5
-------- East Definitions E1->E1 --------
E1 Acc HP15-17 S 4-5
Bal
H 2-3 D 2-6

Open the file from Dealmaster Pro with Bridge
Composer (BC). Fix DM Pro board numbers:
Tools > All Boards... > Tools > Renumber All
Save file, which updates all deals with BC data.
Using Cygwin, add these lines after [BCFlags "1f"]:
[Auction "W"]
Pass Pass 1N Pass
2C Pass 2S Pass
3 NT AP
[Play "S"]
DK
The one-line command to do this is:
\$ sed <2019-07-NASH.PBN > DK.PBN
'/BCFlags/a[Auction "W"\]\r\nPass Pass 1N P\r\n2C

Pass 2S Pass $\operatorname{rr} \ln 3 N$ AP $\backslash r \backslash n[P l a y ~ " S " \ \backslash \backslash r \backslash n D K \backslash r '$
Open DK.PBN with BC and DD all boards; save.
\$ sed <DK.PBN >DT.PBN 's/DK/DT/'
\$ sed <DK.PBN >H3.PBN 's/DK/H3/'
\$ sed <DK.PBN >C2.PBN 's/DK/C2/'
Open each of the files with BC. Tools > Bridge Bots $>\{$ GIB $\}$ Bid and Play All Boards.

Done 15+ hours later. Under Cygwin: \$ grep "E NT" DK.PBN | tr -d "[a-zA-Z \"\]" > DD.txt

\$ grep "$$
Result" C2.PBN | tr -d "[a-zA-Z\\"
$$" >C2.txt

\$ grep "$$
Result" DK.PBN | tr -d "[a-zA-Z\\"
$$"

>DK.txt

\$ grep "$$
Result" DT.PBN | tr -d "[a-zA-Z\\"
$$" >DT.txt

\$ grep " $\backslash$ [Result" H3.PBN | tr -d "[a-zA-Z \\"\]"

>H3.txt
Paste each resulting file (\# tricks) into a column of an Excel spreadsheet, and calculate results.

