## The "Ingberman 2-NT" Convention

Basic bridge bidding, especially in the 5-card Major standard American system, is based upon the so-called "**up-the-ladder**" principle, which implies that after one opens with one-of-a-suit, Partner's 1-level response and Opener's first re-bid are always made in the *cheapest* of their 4-card suits. For example, if one opens **1C** and Partner has two 4-card Majors, he/she always bids **1H** to keep the bidding low and to give Opener room to show a possible 4-card Spade suit. This assures that the Partnership will find any Major-suit fit, should it be present, and effectively keeps the bidding low until the Partnership has exchanged complete information about their individual suit lengths.

If Partner bypasses a suit he could have shown at the 1-level, you should always assume (at least temporarily) that he/she does <u>not</u> have 4-card length in that suit.

For example: You open 1D with ♣94 ▼KQ103 ◆AJ764 ♣K10 and Partner responds 1S. With your minimum opening, it's pointless, here, to bid 2H, a suit which Partner has already denied, and a bidding sequence which could force the bidding to the 3-level. Opener should re-bid 1-NT with this hand in order to show minimum point-count values and to keep the auction as low as possible. Responder could possibly hold 5 spades and 4 hearts, but in that case, he/she would bid 2H over your 1-NT re-bid. (By the way: - this is the only bidding sequence in bridge where a new suit by Responder is <u>not</u> forcing; i.e., 1C or 1D − 1S − 1-NT − 2H.)

Opener can afford to take the bidding higher, however, if he/she holds a stronger hand such as \$\display\$ \psi KQ103 \lefta AKJ76 \display\$ AKJ76. In order to show extra strength, Opener would be permitted to *reverse*; i.e., a bid of a suit which Partner has already bypassed. In the above example, Opener's re-bid of 2H would be such a reverse. Putting it in another way, it's a bid that would force Partner to bid at the 3-level if he/she prefers Opener's first bid suit.

Modern bridge technique calls for a reverse by Opener being forcing for one round. However, although both Partners usually recognize this, many do <u>not</u> know how to put on the skids if each holds the minimum of their respective high-card point values. In these instances, Responder has shown at least 6 or more HCP's and Opener 17 or more. When they both hold minimums, the Partnership holds only about 23 HCP's, and Game is seldom present; yet, there is strong tendency, if they not be careful, for most Partnerships to wander into an unsound game, not knowing how, or if, to stop short of a game-level contract when necessary.

The "<u>Ingberman 2-NT</u>" bid, when played, solves this potential problem and enables Partnerships, under these conditions, to put on the brakes in order to avoid this disastrous pitfall. <u>Ingberman is, therefore, a convention used to allow a Partnership to stop below game after Opener's 2-level reverse bid.</u> (Definition of a Reverse by Opener: Any rebid by Opener which forces a potential preference of the opening suit to the 3-level.

Following a 1-Level suit response by Responder, and a Reverse by Opener, a "2-NT" bid, by Responder (The "Ingberman 2-NT" bid), shows a minimum holding of 5-7 HCP's by Responder, and seeks to extract whether or not Opener, likewise, holds the minimum of 17-18 HCP's, thereby stopping the Partnership from overbidding. If Opener holds the minimum, he/she <u>must</u> "puppet" a conventional "3C" response to Responder's "2-NT" thus requiring the Partnership to stop at the 3-level in whatever suit best applies.

**Both** the bid of "2-NT" by Responder, if invoked, and a *puppet* bid of "3C" by Opener used by this Convention are alertable.

Example:	West	<u>East</u>
	XX	KQXX
	QX	XXX
	AKXX	JXXX
	AKJXX	XX
10	3	1s
21	O (A Reverse)	<b>"2-NT"</b> (Ingberman)
<u>"3(</u>	<u>C"</u> (showing a Minimum)	<b>3D</b> (To play)
Pas	 3\$	

In this example, had East not bid "2-NT", of if having done so, if West had not bid "3C" in response, then the Partnership would be committed to game.

In summary, following a call of one of a suit by Opener, a new suit bid by Responder, and then a "Reverse" by Opener, if either Responder does <u>not</u> bid "2-NT", initiating the convention, or if Opener does not bid "3C" after the "Ingberman 2-NT" is invoked by Responder, then the Partnership is committed to Game. With a departure from the two Ingberman bids by either Partner, the Partnership is automatically committed to a game-level contract.

Note: The situation is different, however, if Responder's first response is a new suit at the 2-Level. Such a first response shows at least 10 or more HCP's under standard play, and 12 or more if the Partnership is using a two-over-one system. Since a sequence such as 1H - 2D - 2S is game forcing anyway, Opener with no fewer than 17 HCP's and Responder no fewer than 10 or 12 HCP's, a continuation of 2-NT by Responder would be *natural* and would *not* be Ingberman. It would show at least one stopper in Clubs, here the un-bid suit.